

2

3		
4		
5		Philippine Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
6		SPECIAL MULTI-STAKEHOLDER GROUP MEETING
7		23 March 2021   9:00 AM – 11:37 AM   via Google Meet
8		
9		
10		Minutes of the Meeting
11		
12	Attendan	ce
13		
14	Gove	rnment
15		Asec. Ma Teresa S. Habitan, DOF
16	2.	Ms. Febe J. Lim, DOF
17		Ms. Charmaine B. Odicta, DOF
18	4.	Engr. Romualdo D. Aguilos, DENR-MGB
19		
20	Indus	•
21		Atty. Ronald Rex S. Recidoro, COMP
22		Atty. Francis Joseph G. Ballesteros, Jr., Philex
23		Atty. Odette A. Javier, Lepanto
24	4.	Engr. Don Paulino, SPEx / PAP
25		
26		Society
27		Mr. Vincent T. Lazatin, Bantay Kita
28		Ms. Aniceta Baltar, Concerned Citizens of Abra for Good Governance
29		Dr. Buenaventura M. Maata, Jr., Philippine Grassroots Engagement in Rural
30		velopment Foundation, Inc.
31		Dr. Nelson Cuaresman Jr., COASTLINE
32		Mr. Chito Trillanes, Social Action Center – Ecology Desk, Diocese of Tandag,
33		rigao del Sur
34		Prof. Ladylyn L. Mangada, UP Visayas Tacloban
35	7.	Ms. Angelica Dacanay, Bantay Kita
36	0	
37	Gues	
38	1.	Ms. Maria Angela Monica Salud B. Mamuyac, DILG
39 40		AD Guillermo Ansay, DOE
40	3.	Demujin Antiporda, DOE
41 42		Shan Barros, DOE
42	э.	Earl Jan Nera, DOE
43 44		
44		

45	PH-EITI Secretariat				
46	1. Eastword D. Manlises				
47	2. Mary Ann Rodolfo				
48	3. Mary Jane I. Baldago				
49	4. Anna Leigh V. Anillo				
50	5. Eah Antonio				
51	6. Roselyn Salagan				
52	7. Katherine Dennise Domingo				
53	8. Zoe Jimenez				
54					
55					
56	Agenda				
57	I. Call to order				
58	II. Approval of the meeting agenda				
59	III. Main Business				
60	1. Contracts and licenses				
61	2. Materiality of revenues and companies/projects for the year under review (FY				
62	2018)				
63	3. Applicability of requirements				
64	4. PH-EITI Theory of Change				
65	IV. Other Matters				
66	1. Updates on the allocation mechanism for MGB and DOE collections				
67	2. BO registry				
68 60	3. Validation Dossier				
69 70	4. Validation Consultation Schedule				
70 71	V. Adjournment				
71 72					
73					
73 74	Highlights				
75	inginights				
76	I. Call to order				
77					
78	DOF Assistant Secretary Ma. Teresa Habitan chaired the special meeting. There being a				
79	quorum, the meeting was called to order at 9:10 a.m.				
80					
81	The Chair explained that the special meeting was convened to seek the MSG's confirmation of				
82	statements, agreements, and/or actions related to the terms and scope of the Sixth PH-EITI				
83	Report, which shall be the basis for the 2021 EITI Validation of the Philippines. The Chair				
84	recapped that the secretariat circulated a document containing the provisional agenda and the				
85	information the MSG needs to know about each agenda item to facilitate an efficient and faster				
86	meeting.				
87					
88					

89 90	II. Approval of the meeting agenda
90 91	The Chair asked the members if there were any item they wish to include in the agenda.
92	
93 94	A civil society representative moved for the approval of the agenda.
95 96 97	An industry representative seconded the motion, and the agenda was approved.
98	III. Main Business
99	
100	1. Contracts and licenses
101 102	MSG assessment of non-trivial deviations from policy and process of awarding and transferring
103	contracts
104	The approximative reported that information anthored from montings with the MCD and DOC
105 106	The secretariat reported that information gathered from meetings with the MGB and DOE suggest that there have been no deviations from policy and process of awarding and
100	transferring contracts for the year under review (FY 2018). The secretariat request the MGB and
107	DOE to confirm the information.
109	The MCR and DOE representatives confirmed the statement. The DOE representative said that
110	The MGB and DOE representatives confirmed the statement. The DOE representative said that
111	there have been no changes in policy and process for FY 2018.
112	
113	Contracte/licenses sworded transferred or emended in 2018 and offer 1 January 2021
114	Contracts/licenses awarded, transferred, or amended in 2018 and after 1 January 2021
115 116	The secretariat, then, requested the MGB and the DOE to confirm whether there were new,
116 117	transferred, or amended contracts/licenses in 2018, and before and after 1 January 2021. The
118	secretariat reiterated that the Standard requires the disclosure of any new, transferred, or
110	amended contracts/licenses from 1 January 2021. The secretariat further noted that in the case
120	of the mining sector, there should be no new MPSAs approved, entered into, transferred, or
120	amended for/in 2021, considering the moratorium (EO No. 79, s. 2013) in place.
121	amended fol/in 2021, considering the moratorium (LO No. 79, S. 2013) in place.
122	The MGB confirmed the information.
123	
124	The secretariat requested the DOE to confirm the information that, so far, there are no new
126	petroleum service contracts and coal operating contracts approved, entered into, transferred, or
127	amended after 1 January 2021, but that there were petroleum service contracts transferred
128	and/or amended in 2018.
120	
130	The DOE said that it has not transferred nor amended any petroleum service contract and coal
130	contract in 2018.
132	

133 134	The secretariat sought confirmation on the information shared in a previous meeting with the DOE technical personnel, that there were partial transfers of contacts/licenses in 2018.
135 136 137 138 139	The DOE said that there are applications for transfer but that the DOE has not yet approved any of these as of the moment. Said applications are still being processed. The DOE also confirmed that no new petroleum service contracts and coal operating contracts have been approved, entered into, transferred, or amended after 1 January 2021.
140 141 142	A civil society representative asked when the contract or transfer becomes perfected.
143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150	DOE explained that in a petroleum service contract transfer, what is being transferred is the participating interest. After the transaction between companies, the parties submit to the DOE for approval of the transfer. The DOE said that such transfers are regulated and that the ERDB director may approve such transfers. Transfers only become valid upon the approval of the DOE. The DOE furthered that they are certain that no transfers were approved for 2019 and 2020. It said that it will double check whether there was a transfer approved by the DOE in 2018.
151 152 153 154	MSG commentary on the efficiency and effectiveness of procedures for awarding and transferring licenses
155 156	The secretariat then asked the MSG's comments on the efficiency and effectiveness of procedures for awarding and transferring licenses.
157 158 159	The Chair asked the MGB to give an overview of the procedures for awarding and transferring licenses.
160 161 162 163	The MGB said that the deed of assignment is executed by both parties and is evaluated by the regional office concerned. It is then endorsed to the MGB Central Office for endorsement to the DENR. Once approved, the deed becomes effective.
164 165 166	The MGB said that the procedure for the awards is posted on the MGB website, under the services being offered by the MGB, and under the mining application and contracts menu.
167 168 169	A civil society representative said that there is a black sand mining in Cagayan and asked whether it was issued a license to operate.
170 171 172	The MGB said that the representative may be referring to an offshore contract—JDB Resources—for black sand mining. The MGB said that company has a legitimate contract, an MPSA, and is now in operation.
173 174	The same civil society representative asked when the MPSA was entered into.

175 The MGB representative could not recall when but said that it has completed its mining 176 feasibility in the previous year and has secured its ECC. The MGB said that it can be checked 177 on its website under contracts awarded. 178 179 The same civil society representative shared that based on consultations with community partners, the community was not consulted about the black sand mining operation. 180 181 182 The MGB expressed doubt that there was no consultation done because the acceptance of the 183 local government, from municipal to provincial, is needed before the MGB proceeds with the awarding of the contracts. 184 185 186 The Chair synthesized the discussion saying that the project has obtained proper approvals 187 based on the process outlined by the MGB but the observation on the ground is that the 188 consultation seems to not have involved as many stakeholders as possible. The Chair asked 189 the civil society representative to confirm the accuracy of the summary. 190 191 The civil society representative expounded saying that the information is from the Social Action 192 Center of the Archdiocese of Cagayan that communicated that they were surprised about the 193 mining operation. In addition, considering the flooding from the recent typhoon, locals attribute 194 the calamity to black sand mining. 195 196 The Chair said that the matter could be discussed in another forum as it raises questions on the 197 effectiveness of the procedures when feedback in the community indicates that they were not 198 consulted. 199 200 An industry representative said that gauging the effectiveness would be very difficult because of the moratorium issued in 2012. He highlighted the efforts being done by the MGB to fast track 201 the application for licenses which includes the creation of a one-stop shop that facilitates the 202 203 processing of permits and interagency coordination for securing permits. 204 205 The MGB said that what it streamlined was the processes for the application of exploration 206 permits. 207 208 The DOE was then requested to give an overview of its processes in awarding contracts. 209 210 The DOE said that as long as the parties are legally, technically, and financially qualified, a transfer could be done. The DOE then discussed the process flow for the Philippine 211 Conventional Energy Contracting Program (PCECP) for Petroleum Exploration as per 212 213 Department Circular 2017 – 0017. 214 215 There are two modes – 1) Application for pre-determined areas (PDAs) and 2) Application for 216 Nominated Areas. 217

For Mode 1, a launching ceremony shall officially unveil a set of PDAs offered by the DOE and

- 219 initiates a 180-day application process for these areas. Interested parties may purchase PDA
- data packages and apply for these areas. The applicants are required to submit within the 180-
- day application period the supporting qualification documents proving its legal, technical, and
- financial qualifications and show proof of payment which amounts to PhP 200,000 per PDA. The
- DOE will open all bids for all areas at 1:00 pm of the deadline, whereby completeness of
- submission of each application shall be checked if it qualifies for further substantive evaluation.
- 225
- 226 Qualified applicants will undergo a 15-day evaluation process. The sole or highest-ranked,
- 227 passing applicant shall within five working days be recommended to the secretary for awarding
- of a service contract. It will then be endorsed to the President for final approval. Contract signing and awarding of the PSC shall be facilitated by the DOE. The applicant shall pay PhP
- 230 0.48/hectare.
- 231

For Mode 2, interested parties may at any time delineate nominate and publish their own Areas

of Interest (AOIs) subject to the DOE's approval, that may likewise be applied for by other

parties. The applicant shall delineate an AOI from any free or open areas in the country, and

shall submit to the DOE a request for Area Clearance with coordinate and map for the said

area. Upon issuance of Area Clearance, the applicant shall submit a Letter of Intent (LOI) to the

DOE, with a copy of the Area Clearance documents to nominate the said area before the
application process can ensue. Once DOE approves the LOI within 15 days, the applicant must

- submit and comply with all application documents which include legal, technical, and financial
   qualification documents, proof of payment (PhP 200,000.00 per area), and proof of publication
- 241 in two broadsheets of general circulation.
- 242

Once published, a 60-day "challenge period" shall be initiated in order for other interested
parties to submit counter-proposals until 11:00 a.m. of the deadline. Application requirements

for these parties include legal, technical, and financial qualification documents and proof of

- payment (Php 1,000,000.00 per area). The DOE will open all bids for all areas at 1:00 pm of the
   deadline, whereby completeness of submission of each application shall be checked if it
- qualifies for further substantive evaluation. The rest of the process is the same with Mode 1.
- 249

After the presentation, the Chair asked the members for comments.

251

252 Going back to the matter of consultations for mining projects, a civil society representative made

- the observation that the streamlining is based on administrative functions and emphasized that the consultation parameters for compliance must be followed. The representative cited
- instances that the municipality may endorse it but not the barangay or the Sangguniang
- 256 *Panlalawigan*. He also emphasized that the people should not be deprived of their constitutional
- rights to be informed of the projects proposed in the area. He said that the approval process
- 258 may have sped up but the social acceptance component of the mining law and local
- 259 government code was not given as much importance.
- 260

<ul> <li>doing business and having sufficient time to have stakeholder consultations.</li> <li>The MGB agreed on the observation that the streamlining was more on the administrative processes and not on the mandatory requirements for the application of permits.</li> <li>The secretariat requested all agencies to regularly update the MSG and the secretariat about any updates on policy and procedures pertaining to contracts and license awards moving forward.</li> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy or full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, ecords, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development.</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state po</li></ul>	261	The Chair acknowledged that there is a balance needed to be observed in pursuing ease of				
<ul> <li>The MGB agreed on the observation that the streamlining was more on the administrative processes and not on the mandatory requirements for the application of permits.</li> <li>The secretariat requested all agencies to regularly update the MSG and the secretariat about any updates on policy and procedures pertaining to contracts and license awards moving forward.</li> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information, whereby no request for information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clear</li></ul>	262	doing business and having sufficient time to have stakeholder consultations.				
<ul> <li>processes and not on the mandatory requirements for the application of permits.</li> <li>The secretariat requested all agencies to regularly update the MSG and the secretariat about any updates on policy and procedures pertaining to contracts and license awards moving forward.</li> <li><u>Government policy on contract disclosure</u></li> <li><u>Government policy on contract disclosure</u></li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>The secretariat requested all agencies to regularly update the MSG and the secretariat about any updates on policy and procedures pertaining to contracts and license awards moving forward.</li> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>The secretariat requested all agencies to regularly update the MSG and the secretariat about any updates on policy and procedures pertaining to contracts and license awards moving forward.</li> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution as a papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of</li></ul>		processes and not on the mandatory requirements for the application of permits.				
<ul> <li>any updates on policy and procedures pertaining to contracts and license awards moving forward.</li> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the aeplicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	266					
<ul> <li>forward.</li> <li>forward.</li> <li><u>Government policy on contract disclosure</u></li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enstrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the officer duy designated by hin/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable dilig</li></ul>	267	The secretariat requested all agencies to regularly update the MSG and the secretariat about				
<ul> <li>270</li> <li>271</li> <li>272</li> <li>273</li> <li>274</li> <li>275</li> <li>276</li> <li>277</li> <li>278</li> <li>278</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>270</li> <li>270</li> <li>271</li> <li>271</li> <li>271</li> <li>272</li> <li>275</li> <li>276</li> <li>277</li> <li>278</li> <li>278</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>270</li> <li>270</li> <li>271</li> <li>271</li> <li>271</li> <li>275</li> <li>276</li> <li>277</li> <li>276</li> <li>277</li> <li>276</li> <li>277</li> <li>276</li> <li>277</li> <li>278</li> <li>278</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>279</li> <li>280</li> <li>279</li> <li>280</li> <li>290</li> <li>291</li> <li>280</li> <li>280</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>282</li> <li>283</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>285</li> <li>284</li> <li>285</li> <li>285</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>287</li> <li>287</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>289</li> <li>288</li> <li>289</li> <li>280</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>285</li> <li>284</li> <li>285</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>287</li> <li>287</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>289</li> <li>288</li> <li>289</li> <li>289</li> <li>289</li> <li>280</li> <li>280</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>282</li> <li>283</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>285</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>287</li> <li>287</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>288</li> <li>289</li> <li>289</li> <li>289</li> <li>289</li> <li>280</li> <li>280</li> <li>280</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>281</li> <li>282</li> <li>282</li> <li>283</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>284</li> <li>285</li> <li>284</li> <li>285</li> <li>284</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>286</li> <li>296</li> <li>297</li> <li>298</li> <li>298</li> <li>298</li> <li>298</li> <li>298</li></ul>	268	any updates on policy and procedures pertaining to contracts and license awards moving				
<ul> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transacting to official acts, transactions and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by hin/her in writing)</li></ul>	269	forward.				
<ul> <li>Government policy on contract disclosure</li> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	270					
<ul> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transaction and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO 2 expressly states that access to information or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in fourtion works and file or the officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	271					
<ul> <li>The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6<sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	272	Government policy on contract disclosure				
<ul> <li>contract disclosure. This includes the following:</li> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development the state policy of success to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	273					
<ul> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions or decisions, or decisions, as usel as to government research data used as basis for policy development.</li> <li>EXecutive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly</li></ul>	274	The secretariat presented the addendum to the 6 <sup>th</sup> Report pertaining to government's policy on				
<ul> <li>The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the sceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	275	contract disclosure. This includes the following:				
<ul> <li>transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the sceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	276					
<ul> <li>transactions involving public interest", "subject to reasonable conditions prescribed by law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the sceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	277	• The Constitution declares as a policy of the State the "full public disclosure of all its				
<ul> <li>law".</li> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development. However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>The Constitution also broadly covers the matter of contract transparency under the right of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the ecceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>of the people to information on matters of public concern, which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Rights (Article III).</li> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>The Constitution provides that the citizen shall be afforded "access to official records, and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>and to documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as</li> <li>well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to</li> <li>such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive</li> <li>Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state</li> <li>policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to</li> <li>official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as</li> <li>basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the</li> <li>information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or</li> <li>jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for</li> <li>information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> </ul>		<b>5</b> ( )				
<ul> <li>well as to government research data used as basis for policy development subject to such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>such limitations as may be provided by law."</li> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive</li> <li>Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state</li> <li>policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to</li> <li>official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as</li> <li>basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the</li> <li>information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or</li> <li>jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for</li> <li>information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Executive Order No. 2, s. 2016 (EO 2) to operationalize, at least in the Executive Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>		•				
<ul> <li>Branch, the aforementioned people's constitutional right to information and the state policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>policy on full public disclosure.</li> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>The EO provides access to information, records, documents, and papers pertaining to official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>official acts, transactions, or decisions, as well as to government research data used as</li> <li>basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the</li> <li>information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or</li> <li>jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for</li> <li>information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>basis for policy development (Section 3).</li> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>However, EO 2 expressly states that access to information will be denied when the information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6). It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>information falls under any of the exceptions in the Constitution or in existing law or</li> <li>jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for</li> <li>information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>jurisprudence (Section 4).</li> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for</li> <li>information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>EO 2's legal presumption is in favor of access to information, whereby no request for</li> <li>information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>information shall be denied unless it clearly falls under any of the exceptions (Section 6).</li> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>It is the head of the office (or the officer duly designated by him/her in writing) which is in</li> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>custody or control of the information who shall determine the applicability of any of the</li> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	297					
<ul> <li>exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure</li> <li>that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing</li> </ul>	298					
301 that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing	299					
	300	exceptions to the request, and this officer must exercise "reasonable diligence" to ensure				
302 (Section 6).	301	that no exception is used to deny a request for purposes of covering up wrongdoing				
	302	(Section 6).				

303 304	• The main agencies regulating the extractive industries, namely the DENR and the DOE, are covered by EO 2. They have complied with it by issuing their respective FOI				
305	Manuals guided by the EO as well as the Inventory of Exceptions.				
306	<ul> <li>On the matter of contracts related to the extractive industries, it is of note that the</li> </ul>				
307	Inventory of Exceptions, under paragraph 5.i, includes "any confidential information				
308	supplied by the contractors in mineral agreements, and financial or technical assistance				
309	agreements pursuant to the Philippine Mining Act of 1995 and its Implementing Rules				
310	and Regulations (IRR), during the term of the project to which it relates," citing Section				
311	94(f) of the said Mining Act.				
312	• The DENR did not append the Inventory of Exceptions to its own FOI Manual, although				
313	the agency is certainly bound to abide by EO 2. The DENR FOI Manual has a List of				
314	Exceptions (Annex "C") with 27 items none of which explicitly mention mineral				
315	agreements or contracts.				
316	• The DOE annexed the Inventory of Exceptions in its own FOI Manual 5 (Annex "B"). It				
317	also annexed a separate List of Exceptions (Annex "C") where the exceptions are				
318	enumerated for each of the Department's bureaus. Exceptions for the Energy Resource				
319	Development Bureau (ERDB) include "all information/data/reports covered by the				
320	'Confidentiality Clause' of the Coal Operating Contracts and Petroleum Service				
321	Contracts". Exceptions for the other bureaus likewise included a general reference to the				
322	"Confidentiality Clause".				
323					
324					
325	After the presentation, the secretariat made a recommendation for the MSG to engage the				
326	DENR-MGB in issuing a policy mandating mining contracts disclosure consistent with the DENR				
327	DAO 2017-07. The secretariat added that, in practice, the MGB is already disclosing copies of				
328	mining contracts through the PH-EITI Contracts Portal.				
329					
330	An industry representative said that it would be too burdensome for companies and said that the				
331	proper entity to disclose such documents might be the MGB, citing consideration on the quality				
332	of data.				
333					
334	The MGB agreed with the industry representative and said that the contracts are already made				
335	available, except for small-scale mining. The MGB also acknowledged that asking the				
336	companies for these would be redundant considering that the MGB can already disclose it.				
337					
338	A civil society representative proposed that the matter be given more time for the MSG to agree.				
339					
340	An industry representative asked the secretariat what the EITI International is looking for in				
341	terms of contract disclosures.				
342					
343	The secretariat responded saying that the EITI International is looking for the description of				
344	government's policy on contract disclosure.				
345					

346 The same industry representative said that the relevant government policy may be that the MGB 347 putting up its open data portal such that the MSG making it mandatory would not be necessary. 348 As for oil, gas, and coal contracts, the DOE said that model contracts are available in its 349 350 website, adding that only the signatories change. 351 352 The Chair asked if oil and gas contracts are already in the Contracts Portal. 353 354 The secretariat confirmed that all contracts of projects covered by the 6th Report are already in 355 the portal. The secretariat also clarified that transfers and amendments to the contracts have to 356 be disclosed. 357 358 The Chair asked the DOE if there is any barrier for the publication of contract of the biggest coal 359 company in the Philippines. The DOE representative said they will inquire if they can post on the DOE portal the contract for 360 361 coal. He also said that copies of service contracts have been provided to the PH-EITI. 362 The secretariat clarified that what DOE has submitted to PH-EITI are service contracts of 363 364 projects covered in the 6<sup>th</sup> Report, and that what is being requested is for the DOE to address contract transparency in general, for future contracts to be disclosed, and that hindrances or 365 barriers for disclosure to be addressed. The secretariat pointed out that the model contracts for 366 367 coal and petroleum have confidentiality clauses. The secretariat asked whether such confidentiality clauses are really necessary. 368 369 370 The Chair deduced that there are no coal contracts published in the portal and said that the lack 371 of coal operating contracts is a question yet to be answered. 372 373 374 MSG policy on annexes 375 376 The secretariat recommended to the MSG to engage the DENR-MGB in making it mandatory 377 for all operating mining projects, whether metallic or nonmetallic, to disclose through the PH-378 EITI Contracts Portal the below-listed information/attachments/annexes along with the main 379 MPSA/contract. The secretariat clarified, however, that the documents are technically not annexes/attachments to an MPSA as, strictly, there are no required annexes/attachments to an 380 381 MPSA. The following are mandatory requirements for MPSA application for utilization/development. According to the MGB, some of the information in these documents 382 383 automatically form part of the terms and conditions of the MPSA. 384 385 Location Map/Sketch Plan (1:50,000 NAMRIA topographic map) showing coordination/boundaries (in tabulated form) with major environmental features/other 386 projects (prepared, sealed, and signed by a deputized Geodetic Engineer) 387 Three-year Work Program 388 • Proof of technical competence in the form of: 389

390		<ul> <li>Bio-data and track records in mining operations/environmental management</li> </ul>	
391		<ul> <li>Sworn statement of the technical person/s who will undertake the implementation</li> </ul>	
392		of the work programs or employment contract/proof of availed service/s	
393	•	Proof of financial capability	
394		• For an individual: SAL duly sworn in accordance with existing laws; latest income	
395		tax return; credit lines, bank guarantees	
396		<ul> <li>For corporation/partnership/association/cooperative: latest audited financial</li> </ul>	
397		statements; annual report for the preceding year; credit lines/bank guarantees	
398	•	Mining Project Feasibility Study	
399	•	Complete and final exploration report pertaining to the area	
400	•	Sworn Declaration of Total Area Coverage	
401	•	Affidavit of Undertaking	
402	•	Certificate of Environmental Management and Community Relations	
403	•	Environmental Compliance Certificate	
404	•	Environmental Protection and Enhancement Program	
405	•	Final Mine and/or Rehabilitation and Decommissioning Plan	
406	•	Social Development and Management Program	
407	•	MGB-registered Secretary's Certificate attesting to a Board Resolution authorizing the	
408		President to sign and execute documents in connection with the MPSA application	
409	•	Area Status and Clearance	
410	•	Certification from the Panel of Arbitrators concerned as to any adverse	
411		claims/protest/opposition	
412	•	NCIP Certification	
413		• For non-ICC/IP area: certificate of non-overlap or report on the field-based	
414		investigation	
415		• For ICC/IP area: certification precondition or memorandum of agreement by and	
416		among the applicant, ICC/IP concerned and the NCIP	
417		<ul> <li>For private lands: proof of ownership, such as certified true copies of</li> </ul>	
418		original/transfer certificate of title, among others	
419	•	Approved survey plan/application for order of survey	
420	•	Prior approval or endorsement in the form of Resolution or certification by at least the	
421		majority of the Sanggunian concerned	
422	•	Appropriate environmental report on the rehabilitation of mined-out and/or mine	
423		waste/tailings-covered areas and anti-pollution measures undertaken during the mining	
424		operations	
425	•	Certification from the concerned regional office that the mining/quarry claims are valid	
426		and subsisting or report by the RO concerned re compliance with the terms and	
427		conditions of the contact/agreement/permit	
428			
429			
430	A civil	society representative asked if the final mine rehabilitation decommissioning plan is a	
431	prerec	quisite for the approval of the contract. He recalled that there was an instance in the past	
432	when a mining company had an expired contract and had no EMRDP yet instead of being		

432 when a mining company had an expired contract and had no FMRDP yet instead of being

433 434	penalized, the contract was extended. He emphasized that he is not blaming the Chamber but stressed that there is an erring company that may tarnish the reputation of the Chamber.
435	
436	The secretariat said that the FMRDP and certificate of non-overlap are mandatory requirements
437 438	for application. The secretariat requested MGB to confirm.
439	The same civil society representative wanted to know if the approval is ministerial on the part of
440	the reviewing agency. He shared that there once was a mother corporation that was paying IP
441 442	royalties but one of the assignees did not pay royalty.
443	An industry representative said that the list presented proposes MGB to also publish the bio
444	data and ITR of key officers of the company. The representative said it should be carefully
445	considered and expressed apprehension on the authority of the MSG to publish such
446 447	documents which may run counter to the Data Privacy Act.
448	The MGB representative said that these are voluminous documents being submitted before the
449	application is approved.
450	
451	The secretariat acknowledged the concerns raised by the MGB and the industry representative
452	and said that it will defer to the wisdom of the MSG on the matter.
453	
454	Still on MSG's policy on contract annexes, the secretariat recommended for the MSG to engage
455	the DOE in making it mandatory for oil, gas, and coal companies/projects to disclose the
456	following attachments/annexes to petroleum service contracts and coal operating contracts.
457	
458	<ul> <li>Technical description, including coordinates</li> </ul>
459	Accounting procedures
460	
461	The DOE confirmed that the DOE may disclose the two items mentioned.
462	
463	The MGB asked to consider choosing the documents that are important to be disclosed.
464	
465	The Chair said that while, in general, the MSG endorses the recommendations, the secretariat
466	should take the MGB's comments into consideration and be mindful of the fact that these
467	disclosures may at times be actually too voluminous. The Chair also cautioned that it is possible
468	to lose sight of information hiding behind bits and pieces of data. The Chair asked the
469	secretariat to consider which data is more important to be in the portal to remain navigable.
470	
471	The secretariat took note of the instructions of the Chair and the comments and suggestions of
472	the MSG members.
473	
474	A civil society representative asked for the point of reference in taking coordinates for technical
475	descriptions.
476	

The MGB said that it has defined this as mineral land and said that it counts on the prior rights 477 478 agreement. 479 The DOE made further clarification pertaining to the disclosure of petroleum service contracts 480 481 stating a section in the Right to Access Information about privileged information relating to 482 national security which must be kept secret in the conduct of foreign affairs. It says it concerns 483 the dispute in the West Philippine Sea. The DOE asked for the MSG's stand on contracts that 484 pertain to disputed areas in the West Philippine Sea. 485 486 The Chair instructed the secretariat to also consider that information in requesting disclosures of 487 PSCs and COCs. 488 489 The secretariat took note of the concerns and said that it would coordinate further with the MGB 490 and DOE which documents would be practical and important to be disclosed. 491 492 493 MSG policy on exploration permits and contracts 494 495 The secretariat explained that the guidance on exploration contracts is for the MSG to balance 496 practicality and demand of disclosing such information. The secretariat also recalled that, in 497 previous meetings, the MSG cautioned about disclosures of exploration permits and contracts 498 that might not ultimately transition to production. This might not be useful in the long run as the 499 PH-EITI portals will be flooded with information that may not be relevant later on. 500 501 Considering the foregoing, the secretariat recommended for the MSG to engage the MGB in annually disclosing an updated list of companies issued with exploration permits. The 502 503 secretariat noted that the MGB already discloses the list of exploration permits issued through 504 its Database Portal. The secretariat recommends, however, that the list be updated regularly 505 and be disaggregated by year of issuance (with the most recent issuances on top of the list), 506 and sorted by region, sector (metallic or nonmetallic), and commodity. 507 508 The MGB took note of the recommendation and said that the matter will be referred to the 509 relevant offices within the agency for the enhancement of the Database Portal. 510 511 For the oil, gas, and coal sector, the secretariat recommended for the MSG to engage the DOE 512 in annually disclosing an updated list of oil, gas, and coal companies with exploration contracts. 513 The disclosure must be disaggregated by year of issuance, region, sector (oil, gas, or coal), and 514 commodity. The may follow the format of the MGB's Database Portal. 515 516 The DOE said that it will raise the recommendation to the ERDB director and seek approval. 517 518 519

520

- 521 2. Materiality of revenues and companies/projects for the year under review (FY 2018)
- 522

## 523 <u>Materiality of revenues</u>

524

525 The secretariat said that the MSG has discussed and approved the materiality in 2019 but that the EITI International is seeking confirmation and explanation on the materiality threshold used 526 in the reconciliation process. The IA has submitted a revised table and the new amount will be 527 528 Php 60,756,728,359 total government collections from extractive industry based on the reports 529 of the agencies. The secretariat also presented the disaggregated data per collecting agency. The data includes payments from the top 20 non-metallic mines. It was noted that using the 5% 530 materiality threshold prescribed by the SEC Securities Regulation Code Rule 68 would exclude 531 more revenue streams. Because of this, the materiality threshold used is 2%. 532 533 534 The secretariat asked the MSG to confirm that the materiality threshold approved was 2%, which was what is already being used since the first PH-EITI Report. 535 536 537 A civil society representative said that it may be good to continue using the 2% threshold so as 538 not to exclude other revenue streams. 539 540 The secretariat further clarified that even with the 2% threshold, some revenue streams are 541 actually supposedly not in scope. Such revenue streams were only scoped-in due to the nature 542 of payments. 543 544 The MSG confirmed the 2% threshold and the revenue streams that the independent 545 administrator reconciled for the 6<sup>th</sup> Report. 546 547 Material companies 548 549 550 The secretariat sought confirmation of previous discussions on the following items: 551 552 For the mining sector, all operating metallic and nonmetallic mines, including those 553 suspended or under care and maintenance, are required to participate in the PH-EITI 554 pursuant to the DENR DAO 2017-07. 555 All operating metallic mines are covered in the 6th Report. For the nonmetallic mines, only the top 20 in terms of production value were covered. 556 557 This is part of PH-EITI's effort to gradually mainstream EITI implementation in the sector. For oil and gas, only the material companies were covered. 558 • 559 560 The MSG confirmed the statements pertaining to material companies. 561 562 563 564

565	Materiality of SOEs			
566				
567	The secretariat explained that the EITI International recommends for the MSG to discuss the			
568	materiality of state-owned enterprises (SOEs). The IA submitted a revised table on the			
569	dividends paid by the companies to the government. The secretariat said that the EITI			
570	International suggested, as in the case of PMDC, computing commitment fees and royalties			
571	paid by operators to PMDC. The IA however argues that it is not the actual revenue of the			
572	government. The revenues are reckoned on the dividends paid by the companies to the			
573	government. The secretariat asked the MSG's confirmation that the IA's computation is correct			
574	and that the revenues from SOEs are not material for the period under review.			
575				
576	Without comments, the MSG confirms the computation of the IA. <sup>1</sup>			
577				
578				
579	3. Applicability of Requirements			
580				
581	The secretariat asked the MSG to confirm the non-applicability in the Philippines of the following			
582	requirements for the year under review (FY 2018):			
583				
584	• 4.2 - In-kind revenues,			
585	<ul> <li>4.3 - Infrastructure provisions and barter arrangements,</li> </ul>			
586	4.4 - Transportation revenues, and			
587	6.2 - Quasi-fiscal expenditures			
588				
589				
590	The secretariat noted that as per the consultants for the Sixth PH-EITI Report, the identified			
591	requirements remain non-applicable to the Philippine extractives sector for the year under			
592	review (FY 2018).			
593	The MCC confirmed the new applicability of the mentioned requirements			
594 595	The MSG confirmed the non-applicability of the mentioned requirements.			
595 596				
596 597				
721				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The actual dividend declaration of PNOC and PNOC-EC for 2018 is at 0.5% and 1%, respectively when compared to the total revenue streams and other taxes for the entire extractive industry reported by government agencies. The other payments to government, on the other hand is at .03%, 0.26% and 1.91% for PMDC, PNOC, PNOC-EC, accordingly. With these percentages, <u>the government collections</u> from the SOEs are not deemed significant for further reconciliation. However, PNOC-EC is part of the targeted companies for oil and gas sector; thus, the other payments to government were further reconciled. Refer to Section III, Reconciliation results, of this chapter for the details.

Royalty fees and commitment fees of PMDC for 2018 disclosed in page 30 of the report, amounted to PH₱23m and PH₱138m is 0.04% and 0.23% of the total revenue streams and other taxes reported by government agencies for the year 2018 and 0.21% and 1.25% of the total revenue streams and other taxes for the metallic mining sector. These are considered immaterial to conduct further reconciliation.

## 598 4. Theory of Change

599

The secretariat presented a proposed theory of change, which is a useful tool to weave together goals and interventions that lead to effective change, and allow for a thorough evaluation of a program/project being implemented.

603

The secretariat presented the theory of change adopted by the EITI International. It has input indicators on the performance of the secretariat and the implementation of activities. Meanwhile,

the output indicators measure the quality of how the implementing country follows the

recommendations after the Validation. There are outcome indicators which include contributions

- and results of the efforts done by the country.
- 609

Based on this model, the secretariat proposed a framework for developing a Theory of Change

- 611 for the PH-EITI. This framework is illustrated below.
- 612



- 613
- 614
- 615
- The secretariat proposed to create a TWG to work on the theory of change and agree on a
- 617 framework appropriate to the context of PH-EITI. The secretariat also recommends the TWG to 618 review the root cause of issues in the extractive industries where reform is needed.
- 619

A civil society representative said that the MSG may need ample time review the proposal of the

- 621 secretariat and acknowledged that the theory of change calls for agents for change. He agreed
- on the creation of a TWG.
- 623

624 625 626	Another civil society representative said that it might be better for the MSG to discuss the framework in crafting the theory of change before the task is handed over to a team.				
627 628 629	The Chair agreed and instructed the secretariat to take note of the representative's suggestion. The Chair asked the secretariat to present the matter again in one of the next MSG meetings.				
630 631 632	Another civil society representative suggested looking for samples of theory of change in the extractive industries.				
633 634 635 636	An industry representative and another civil society representative also agreed with a previous suggestion to discuss the matter in a future MSG meeting before delegating it to a TWG.				
637 638	IV. Ot	her Matters			
639 640	1. BO	registry			
641 642 643 644	The secretariat informed the MSG that following discussions from the 66 <sup>th</sup> MSG meeting, a BO registry for the extractive industries has been created and is already published on the PH-EITI website.				
645 646	2. Validation consultation schedule				
647 648 649	The EITI International has sent the list of stakeholders to be consulted during the Validation. The list includes:				
650	•	Civil Society MSG members	661	•	DOE
651	•	Bantay Kita	662	٠	DILG
652	٠	Chamber of Mines of the Philippines	663	٠	ULAP
653	•	PAP	664	٠	DBM
654	•	Non-chamber companies	665	٠	NCIP
655	•	Asec. Ma. Teresa Habitan (DOF)	666	٠	SEC
656	٠	DOF	667	٠	World Bank
657	٠	BIR	668	٠	USAID
658	•	BOC	669	٠	OGP
659	٠	MGB	670	٠	Local Government Units
660	•	DENR	671	٠	Congress
672					
673	The secretariat said that it will propose a timeline for the consultations which is initially				
674	suggested to be in the third and fourth weeks of April. The secretariat also informed the MSG				
675	that the International Secretariat may request follow up meetings depending on the results of				
676	each r	neeting.			
677					
678					

679 3. Validation Dossier 680 The secretariat requested the MSG to review the Validation dossier before it is submitted to EITI 681 International by April 1. The secretariat said it will send a separate communication about the 682 683 validation dossier review and asked the MSG to inform the secretariat for any additional 684 document they wish to be included. 685 686 4. MGB and DOE Collections 687 688 The secretariat requested the MGB and DOE to discuss the allocation mechanism of each 689 agency for the revenues/shares/payments they collect. 690 691 The MGB said it only has one revenue which is the royalty payments for mineral reservations. It 692 said that the 90% of the collection goes to the Bureau of the Treasury for distribution to the 693 directly impacted communities. 10% of this goes to MGB and DBM through a trust fund. The 694 MGB submits a work plan and the approved budget for the development of mineral reservation 695 and only this amount is being withdrawn from the trust fund. Normally it is released after the first 696 quarter of the year. 697 698 For oil and gas, the Chair recalled that in an MSG meeting some years ago, it was presented 699 that proceeds of Malampaya are allocated to scholarships and DOE fund although the bulk of it goes to the Treasury. The chair asked the DOE to confirm. 700 701 702 The DOE said it will get back to the MSG on the matter. 703 704 The MGB asked if all meetings with the international secretariat will be done online or in-person. 705 706 The secretariat said that all meetings will be done online. 707 708 A civil society representative raised another matter about the PH-EITI's correspondence regarding Project DATA where endorsement letters to mayors and governors were channeled 709 710 through Bantay Kita. The representative asked if it could be sent directly to the mayors and 711 governors. He also requested the COMP to issue separate endorsement letters such that 712 companies at the local level will recognize local partners of Project DATA. 713 714 Another civil society representative asked how non-COMP members could be engaged in the PH-EITI, citing that there may be non-chamber members in Caraga. 715 716 717 The Chair recalled that there used to be an MSG member who was not part of the chamber. 718 719 An industry representative said that the MGB has contact to all mining companies, including 720 non-chamber members. 721

- The Chair asked the MSG about reactivating the position in the MSG that is supposed to go to a non-associated company.
- 724
- A civil society representative emphasized that whether member of the chamber or not,
- extractive projects would still have an impact in the community. He said that the mining
- companies and the CSO should sit and discuss transparency and accountability in its
- operations on the ground. He opined that non-members of the chamber should not remain
- 729 beyond reach.730
- The Chair agreed with the industry representative that the MGB will be an all-encompassing arm for the non-associated mines.
- 733
- The MGB agreed with the Chair's statement.
- 735
- The Chair suggested more coordination with the MGB to help ensure that non-associated mines will also uphold the guidelines and standards of transparency.
- 738
- A civil society representative asked to be connected to MGB regional offices to emphasize the
- importance of participating in the PH-EITI and complying with the transparency standards.
- 741
- 742 Having discussed all matters in the agenda, the meeting was adjourned at 11:37 a.m.