

52

Ms. Rhoda Aranco Mr. Jaime Miguel **64TH MULTI-STAKEHOLDER GROUP MEETING** 1 9 December 2020 | 9:00 AM - 12:00 PM | Zoom 2 3 4 Minutes of the Meeting 5 6 7 **Attendance** 8 9 Government Usec. Bayani H. Agabin Department of Finance (DOF) 10 Asec. Ma. Teresa S. Habitan DOF 11 Ms. Febe J. Lim DOF 12 13 Engr. Romualdo D. Aguilos Mines and Geosciences Bureau (MGB) Ms. Maria Angela Monica Salud B. Mamuyac Department of the Interior and Local 14 15 Government (DILG) 16 17 Industry Atty. Joan D. Adaci-Cattiling OceanaGold Philippines, Inc. 18 19 Atty. Francis Joseph G. Ballesteros, Jr. **Philex Mining Corporation** 20 21 **Civil Society** Mr. Vincent T. Lazatin Bantay Kita 22 Dr. Buenaventura Maata, Jr. Philippine Grassroots Engagement in 23 24 Rural Development Foundation, Inc. 25 Concerned Citizens of Abra for Good Ms. Aniceta Baltar Governance 26 27 UPV Tacloban/Philippine Political Prof. Ladylyn L. Mangada Science Association 28 29 Mr. Chito Trillanes Social Action Center - Ecology Desk, Diocese of Tandag, Surigao del Sur 30 Bantay Kita Secretariat 31 Ms. Angelica Dacanay 32 33 Guests 34 Ms. Pamela Grafilo 35 Consultant – Mainstreaming Feasibility Team Leader – 7th Report Atty. Brenda Jay Angeles Mendoza 36 Consultant – 7th Report 37 Ms. Linnet Madelane Chan Mr. Jerick Aguilar Consultant - 7th Report 38 Prof. Sharon Macagba Consultant – 7th Report 39 40 **PH-EITI Secretariat** 41 42 Ms. Mary Ann Rodolfo Ms. Mary Jane Baldago 43 Mr. Eastword Manlises 44 Ms. Anna Leigh Anillo 45 Ms. Erycce Althea Antonio 46 Ms. Zoe Jimenez 47 Ms. Roselyn Salagan 48 Ms. Dennise Domingo 49 Ms. Rhea Bagacay 50



Mr. Ricardo Evora Ms. Roxan Rivera

Agenda

- 58 1. Call to order
 - 2. Approval of the agenda of the 64th meeting
 - 3. Approval of the minutes of the 63rd meeting
 - 4. Matters arising from previous meetings
 - 5. Main Business
 - a. Updates on 6th Report publication
 - b. Updates on the Mainstreaming Feasibility Study
 - c. Updates on 7th Report production
 - d. Draft Work Plan for 2021
 - 6. Other Matters
 - a. Report on financial status
 - b. Update on the Legislative Advocacy Plan
 - c. Report on the Extractives Transparency Week and National Conference 2020

1. Call to order

Undersecretary Bayani Agabin chaired the 64th MSG Meeting. Assistant Secretary Ma. Teresa Habitan served as co-chair. The meeting started at 9:05 AM.

2. Approval of the agenda

The secretariat presented the proposed agenda. The Chair asked for the approval of the agenda. The co-chair motioned to approve the agenda. The motion was seconded and the agenda was approved.

3. Approval of the minutes of the 63rd meeting

Since the minutes was only circulated to the MSG two days before the meeting, the Chair gave the members seven days to send in comments or corrections on the draft minutes. If no substantive comment is received within the given time, the minutes will be deemed approved.

4. Matters arising from previous meetings

The secretariat presented the matters arising from previous meetings. One of the items is PH-EITI's letter to DOE requesting the agency to address the recommendations and requirements of EITI in preparation for the Philippines' EITI Revalidation. The secretariat reported that the DOE has yet to complete its submissions.

The secretariat also noted the Validation self-assessment survey that the MSG asked the secretariat to prepare. The secretariat said that the self-assessment survey will be sent in January.



ph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

The chair asked the MSG for comments on the matters arising from past meetings. Having received no comments, the chair instructed the secretariat to update the MSG in the next meeting about the progress on pending matters.

5. Main Business

a. Updates on 6th Report publication

The secretariat shared updates on the publication of the 6th Report.

The secretariat said that the consultant committed to submit the final draft of the report on December 13th. The deadline of submission for the report is on December 31, 2020. The 6th Report will be the basis of the Philippines' Revalidation, which is anticipated to commence in April 2021.

The first draft of the report was provisionally approved by the MSG on September 11, 2020 and that draft was submitted to the EITI International Secretariat (IS) for comments especially on technical gaps.

The IS returned the copy with around 70 comments, mostly on requirements that they believe were not discussed in the draft. The comments were sent to the MSG for review and feedback.

An industry representative requested access to the document. The secretariat granted the member access to the document and requested other members to inform the secretariat if they are having challenges in accessing the document.

The secretariat reported that the Independent Administrator has already addressed some of the comments of the IS.

The secretariat informed the body that the chapter on beneficial ownership (BO) transparency has yet to be finalized and submitted to the MSG for review. The status of the BO disclosure exercise for the 6th Report, however, was already presented in the 2020 National Conference as part of the key findings of the 6th Report. The secretariat recalled that almost half of the target participating companies have complied with the requirement. The secretariat considers industry response as generally positive, considering the issues and concerns on the data privacy of beneficial owners.

The BO transparency chapter will present the issues and challenges encountered in BO disclosure, and it will report on the companies that complied, partially complied, and did not comply with the requirement, with explanation on why they did not comply.

 The secretariat emphasized that the chapter on BO transparency is important since it will already be part of the requirements against which the Philippines will be assessed come the Revalidation period. It will, in effect, be the culmination of the Philippines' BO roadmap that was developed four years ago.

The 7th Report will also cover BO disclosure from the same fiscal year that the 6th Report covered. Hence, BO reporting will continue to the 7th report.

The co-chair asked how firm the deadline is for the submission of the final draft of the 6th Report.



© 5/F DOF Building, Roxas Boulevard corner Pablo Ocampo St.,
Manila 1004, Philippines
(02) 8525-0487 / (02) 8525-0497

(02) 8525-0487 / (02) 8525-0497 ⊠ pheiti@dof.gov.ph ∰ ph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

The secretariat said that unless the MSG would like to issue a letter to compel the consultant to submit, the PH-EITI will hold on to the commitment of the consultant.

The Chair asked about the repercussion if the PH-EITI does not respond to the comments of the EITI International.

The secretariat said that it is up to the MSG and that it is not mandatory to respond to the comments. The comments are intended to help improve the report, which will be the basis of the Philippines' Revalidation. The secretariat is waiting for the consultant for the contextual information to address the remaining comments.

The Chair asked if the Philippines will not be revalidated if PH-EITI does not respond to the comments.

The secretariat clarified that the Philippines will still undergo the Revalidation process with or without responding to the comments, and that the final copy of the report will be the basis of the Revalidation.

The Chair asked which of the comments must be addressed.

The secretariat shared that the most significant comment might be on the description of the materiality. The EITI International is asking whether the materiality threshold and the methodology of reconciliation are correct. The secretariat explained that as per the EITI International, it should be the starting point or basis of the report and that the methodology should be properly implemented because the result of the reconciliation might be questioned if the materiality and the methodology are questionable.

The secretariat also confirmed that the concern has already been addressed by the consultant for reconciliation.

The Chair asked for the specific comments on materiality.

The Secretariat read the comment on materiality, and summarized that the EITI international is asking for a description of materiality.

The Chair asked the secretariat for its proposal on how to address the other issues.

The secretariat said that the consultant for reconciliation is already done in addressing the comments on the reconciliation process and that what the secretariat is waiting for is for the other consultant to address the comments on contextual information. The secretariat said that the way forward is to either wait for or compel the consultant to already submit the report.

The Chair said that the consultant will be compelled to submit. The Chair also asked if the consultant is getting paid.

The secretariat said that once the contract has lapsed and the consultant was not able to submit on time, the consultant would have to deliver 100% of the output all at once as the consultant will no longer be entitled to receive payment in tranches.

The Chair said that if it is in the TOR, then the consultant will have to be compelled to submit. The Chair asked if the contract may be terminated and if the consultant could be blacklisted if the consultant was too late in submitting the output.



♠ ph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

The secretariat said that such provision was not included in the TOR of the consultant.

The Chair said that it is a provision in the GPRA. The Chair then reiterated that the MSG can give comments which the Secretariat will consolidate. The secretariat shall then send the marked copy in the following week.

A CSO representative asked which of the comments must be addressed to remain compliant with the requirements of the Standard, and which comments are only advisory in nature.

The secretariat said that it can mark which comments are mandatory and which ones are advisory. It then reiterated that the most important item flagged by the EITI international was the concern on materiality.

The Chair asked if this is the first deadline given to the consultant or if it has been extended several times.

The secretariat confirmed that the deadline has been extended several times.

The Chair then said that the matter will be discussed separately with the co-chair and the secretariat.

The Chair asked when the report must be submitted.

The secretariat said that the report must be submitted by December 31, 2020.

The Chair asked if the MSG could see the report incorporating the responses to the comments of the EITI International by end of next week.

The Secretariat confirmed its feasibility.

The following is the agreed timeline for the finalization and publication of the 6th Report:

December 11	Deadline of comments matrix with markings from the Secretariat and responses from the consultants (to be sent to the MSG for review)
December 18	Deadline of the report incorporating responses to comments
December 21	Deadline for MSG's comments
December 31	Deadline of submission to EITI International

b. Updates on the Mainstreaming Feasibility Study

The Chair asked the secretariat to proceed with the next part of the agenda. The secretariat introduced the consultant for the Mainstreaming Feasibility Study, Ms. Pamela Grafilo, to present updates on the project.

The consultant shared about the administrative delays in the engagement of consultancy services, which affected the commencement of the project and the overall timeline for the production of the study. Be that as it may, her team tried to accomplish what could be done in the given period as part of the first phase of the feasibility study. She presented initial observations on the status of systematic disclosure of EITI data. Details on the status of



systematic disclosure in the Philippines could be found in the presentation annexed to this document.

The consultant also shared about the challenges in gathering data and information. The most significant of which is requesting interviews with EITI implementing agencies. Ms. Grafilo asked whether the secretariat could provide assistance in following up on the agencies that need to be interviewed for the study.

The Chair said he does not want to commit and overburden the secretariat, considering it already has too much on its plate. Instead, the Chair requested the consultant to make a list of technical assistance needed and allow the secretariat to assess which ones it could provide given its current capacity.

The Chair asked the members for comments on the updates. Having received none, the Chair moved forward to the next item in the agenda.

c. Updates on 7th Report production

The secretariat made a recap of the MSG's decision to produce a flexible report as opposed to conventional reporting, considering the challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic. The secretariat said that conventional reporting entails hiring an independent administrator for reconciliation. On the other hand, in flexible reporting, reconciliation is not required. Flexible reporting requires a forward-looking element in the report such as a forecast of trends for the industries. This approach aims to address challenges in engaging the services of an independent administrator, considering the impact of the pandemic. Likewise, the approach intends to document the impact of COVID-19 on extractive industries. Another feature of the flexible report is the inclusion of other themes or topics that the MSG would like to focus on.

As agreed by the MSG during a technical working group meeting (with a quorum of MSG members), the 7th Report will have the following chapters:

- a) Contextual Information
- b) Reconciliation
- c) Industry Outlook
- d) Thematic Report on Social Development and Management Program

The consultants of the 7th Report had been requested to present updates and/or initial findings on their specific chapter assignments.

i. Reconciliation

The independent administrator (IA), Ms. Linnet Madelane Chan, presented the updates on reconciliation.

The IA first discussed the timeline of the production of the 7th Report. Companies attended a workshop on using the ORE tool in June, and the gathering of data commenced in August to November. Several ORE maintenance took place within the said period which contributed to the length of data gathering period, on top of the challenges brought by the pandemic such as having a limited workforce reporting to offices in different agencies as physical distancing protocols are in place.



♠ ph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

The IA then presented the methodology for the 7th Report. The process started with the initial scoping and data collection but it is not yet completed as some data from the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR) are still pending. The IA shared that the materiality threshold for the 7th Report is the same as the materiality applied in previous reports (i.e., 2% applied to the total revenues collected from the targeted projects per sector, except for BIR where the report is limited to those that have submitted its papers to BIR). This step is followed by the reconciliation of data and then the writing of the report.

The 7th Report covers 51 metallic operating projects, 28 non-metallic projects, four oil and gas projects, and one coal mine.

The target for the 7th Report is the top 25 non-metallic mines but a total of 28 projects have been scoped. 40 metallic projects participated and 11 did not. 25 non-metallic projects participated and three did not. Two oil and gas companies participated and two did not. No coal project participated.

The IA presented the list of the projects that participated and did not participate in the report.

A total of 28 non-metallic projects were targeted because there are several MPSAs where the production lines cannot be separated from each other. The total production value is PhP 55.57 billion or 94.42% of the total production of non-metallic mining.

25 projects or 17 companies participated with total production of 5.17 billion or 87.65% of the total non-metallic mining sector.

 The participation is 3.29% higher in terms of production value compared with the 6th Report. The IA explained that such increase is only expected, considering that the coverage was expanded from top 20 projects to top 25. The three projects that did not participate contributed to 6.77% to the total production for the non-metallic mining sector.

For oil and gas projects, the two projects that did not participate only contributed to 0.12% of the total sales for the oil and gas sector.

Semirara Mining and Power Corporation, the sole coal project, still did not participate in the 7th Report citing that the data and payments that they might disclose may be used against them in the global market given the World Trade Organization guidelines, and publishing their data might affect the company's cost and price competitiveness. They also noted that the incentives they avail might be construed as government subsidy and may cause countries where they export their commodity to impose counter measures. The reasons were stated in a letter to DOF in September 2014 and the company is still imposing this main reason for not participating aside from not being required by EO 79, which primarily focuses on mining and not the coal sector. Semirara Mining's sales in 2019 amounted to over 32 billion pesos in sales or 99.61% of the total sales of the coal sector.

The revenue streams are the same with the previous reports. The IA has already received data from BOC, MGB, and DOE and is still waiting for the others. The IA then presented the initial data from the revenue streams.

The IA presented raw data and has so far reconciled 15.43% for the metallic sector and 3.80% for the non-metallic sector. So far, a total of PhP 5 billion worth of government payments have



© 5/F DOF Building, Roxas Boulevard corner Pablo Ocampo St.,
Manila 1004, Philippines

(02) 8525-0487 / (02) 8525-0497 ⋈ pheiti@dof.gov.ph

ph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

been noted from the mining projects. 99.45% of the data in oil and gas sector has already been reconciled. The payments from the oil and gas sector is at PhP 34 billion.

The IA then presented the shares in national wealth based on the data from the Department of Budget and Management (DB). The DBM was able to distribute PhP 3.42 billion of LGU wealth shares in 2019.

The IA said that the pending data are mostly from government agencies and if the data is submitted in December, the IA can start the reconciliation process within the same month.

The IA also addressed the comment of the EITI International on the materiality threshold and highlighted that the 2% threshold was applied to the total collections from the targeted projects. The IA asked for confirmation from the MSG on the materiality threshold, saying it would generate higher threshold when applied to targeted projects compared with applying it to all participating projects.

The Chair asked the MSG for comments and inputs then proceeded to say that the materiality is always thought of as based on the total target anyway and will just need to be explained better.

An industry representative sought information on the practice of other countries in terms of setting the materiality threshold.

The Chair replied saying that every country decides on and defines its own materiality. The Chair then asked the secretariat if it has any response to the question.

The secretariat responded saying that its current members are not familiar with the approach other countries use in setting materiality. The secretariat said that the comment on materiality has also surfaced in the 2017 Validation yet the Philippines passed the Validation anyway. The secretariat then said that the description of materiality might just need to be written better or in a much clearer way.

The Chair asked the MSG for further comments. Having received no response, the Chair recognized the next presenter.

ii. Contextual Information

 The consultant and 7th Report team leader, Atty. Brendajay Angeles-Mendoza, presented the following chapter outline based on her TOR and the inception report submitted in October 2020:

- I. Introduction
- II. Legal and Institutional Framework
- III. Industry Potential
- IV. Contribution to the Economy
- V. Environmental Payments and Related Data
- VI. Beneficial Ownership Information
- VII. Gender-Related Extractives Data
- VIII. Strengthening PH-EITI Data and Data Disclosures
- 413 IX. Conclusion



ph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

The consultant said that the report to be presented is very preliminary except for Section VIII, where she relied heavily on publicly available resources online and information from EITI.

The consultant presented an overview of Section II on Legal and Institutional Framework:

A. Legal and Fiscal Regime

• same as in past PH-EITI reports

the legislative proposals in congress on the new fiscal regime will be discussed
 discussion on the DENR-MGB policies including the guidelines regarding the resumptions of some mining operations during the GCQ, alignment of SDMP funds to support impact and non-impact communities due to COVID-19, realignment of DMP funds due to recent typhoons

Moves to enhance regulation of small-scale mining

 Global and local policy actions to lower CO2 and move of the government to develop renewable sources of energy

B. Contracts and License Allocation

 The consultant also intend to have a discussion of DOE PCECP. She noted
that the development of new commercially viable reserves is promoted to offset
the depletion of the Malampaya gas reserve in medium- and long-term. The
first meeting following the signing of the MoU between Philippines and China
on joint exploration of reserves took place in 2019 but the consultant is yet to
find the details of the proceedings of that meeting.

C. Beneficial Ownership

 The discussion will cover the SEC MC No. 15 on the revision of GIS to include Beneficial Ownership information, as well as the advisory opinion of the National Privacy Commission on the publication of the beneficial owners' information

D. State Participation

 The consultant took note of current moves to privatize mining assets held by the government and to restore their operations to help re-start the economy amid COVID-19. These developments among others reveal how the government perks up the extractive industries as a means to boost the economy.

The consultant also presented initial data and information gathered for the following sections:

III. Industry Potential

 The potential of the mining sector to be in the forefront of economic development is there, as is also suggested by MGB data. The goal is to boost growth without compromising the protection of the government and ensure the sustainability of mineral development practices. The production capacity of oil and gas are considered significant sources of revenue.

A. Production Data



© 5/F DOF Building, Roxas Boulevard corner Pablo Ocampo St.,
Manila 1004, Philippines

(02) 8525-0487 / (02) 8525-0497 ⋈ pheiti@dof.gov.ph

mph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

The metallic and non-metallic minerals production value increased in 2019 but

 The volume of production for oil and gas decreased in 2019 although the data presented was preliminary.

there is a slight decrease in small scale non-metallic mineral from 2018.

The production of coal increased in 2019.

B. Export Data

- The export of metallic and non-metallic minerals have increased in 2019.
- Data for oil and gas export for 2019 is yet to be obtained.
- The volume of production of coal more than doubled in 2019. 96.7% of coal exports is received by China.

IV. Contribution to the Economy

The consultant presented preliminary data on company payments and revenue contribution and admitted confusion over the data obtained from MGB which show two different information, thus entails verification. The consultant also presented preliminary data on employment contribution coming from the MGB.

V. Environmental Payments and Related Data

The consultant said that the information for this chapter would need clarification and further expansion beyond mining-specific funds because in her understanding there are also funds that are not paid directly to the government but are deposited with government (banks) and so the consultant would need guidance from the MSG as to which payments should be realistically covered in the 7th Report, and guidance on the scope and the readiness of the bureaus to provide the information.

- A. Environmental Protection and Enhancement Program (EPEP)
- B. Contingent Liability and Rehabilitation Fund (CLRF) for Mining
- C. Other Environmental Payments and Related Data

VI. Beneficial Ownership Information

Considering that BO disclosure for 2019 has been covered in the 6th Report, the 7th Report will take off from where the 6th Report left and will focus only on companies that did not comply or partially complied with the requirements. It is important to note that since SEC's BO disclosure requirement only took effect in 2019, the 6th Report, which supposedly covers FY 2018, can only cover pilot BO disclosures in 2019. The 7th Report, meanwhile, is correctly intended to cover extractives data and information from FY 2019.

- A. Beneficial Ownership Information (per country/per industry)
- B. Gaps in Reporting Beneficial Ownership Information
- C. Legal/Practical Barriers to Implementation

VII. Gender-Related Extractives Data

The consultant will take off from the recommendations from the previous gender study of PH-EITI and will look into possibly disaggregating the information obtained from companies.

- A. Overview of Results of Gender Reporting
- B. Gender Data Reporting Considerations

VIII. Strengthening of PH-EITI Data and Data Disclosure

The content will be largely based on the web-based discussions conducted in November 2020. It will also tackle challenges and constraints in gathering data, as well



as the comprehensiveness and accessibility of information.

- A. Summary of Disclosed Data
- B. MSG Assessment of the Comprehensiveness and Reliability of Disclosed Data
- C. Efforts Towards Systematic Disclosure and Mainstreaming

The Chair commended the presentation for being comprehensive. The Chair also inquired on the employment data that seemed to have recorded a decline. The Chair asked for the reason for the decline. The consultant said that she has yet to find the reason for the decline, considering that the data presented was only preliminary. The Chair said that it would be good to include in the report the reason for the decline.

A CSO representative asked for clarification on the years covered by the report. The consultant responded that the report will focus on FY 2019. However, since the flexible report has to be more forward looking, then it would inevitably include data from 2020. The CSO representative said that including 2020 events and data must only be because of very important reasons and not simply because PH-EITI opted for "flexible" reporting. The CSO representative stressed that there is a time for 2020 reporting and suggested that the 7th Report focus on 2019 data.

The Chair acknowledged the comment and suggested that the COVID-19 pandemic information that may be included in the 7th Report may focus on a description of how the pandemic affected the production of the report.

The consultant sought clarification on the MSG's preference and instruction, saying that the initial understanding of the consultants is that they could also cover the first half of 2020. The consultant said that if it is the MSG's instruction, it can limit the discussions to how the data was gathered at the time of the pandemic. The consultant said that they would consider the MSG's comments but explained that they only based their research and writing plan on the TOR provided to them.

The Chair asked the secretariat to explain the concern on the TOR for the MSG to have a better understanding of the outputs that the consultants are required to deliver.

The secretariat explained that only the 2019 data will go through reconciliation but for purposes of weaving the chapters together, the contextual information may have COVID-19 elements given that there would be a chapter on the industry outlook which draws from the impact of the pandemic on the sector. The SDMP fund realignment for COVID-19 response will also be discussed in one of the chapters. As an example, the secretariat shared that the 6th Report, which covers FY 2018 had to cover BO disclosure in 2019 (MC 15 was issued in 2019) to comply with the requirements of the EITI Standard. The secretariat suggested a possible alternative which is to come up with two volumes of the 7th Report, one which is only dedicated to the 2019 data, and the other comprising the thematic reports (Industry Outlook and SDMP).

An industry representative agreed that for the report to be relevant, COVID-19 must be discussed. She also said that the realignment of SDMP funds which were from 2019 were spent in 2020 so the data can still be captured if the 2019 and 2020 data would be separated. She also lauded the data on women in mining and offered to help PH-EITI in data gathering, through a women's organization, Diwata.



 mph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

A CSO representative raised a comment from COA during one of the discussions in the PH-EITI Extractives Transparency Week wherein COA said that the mining operations that they audit are only those inside mineral reservations and not those outside. CLRF paid to MGB is audited while other fees are not. The CSO representative said that if PH-EITI wants to pursue the comprehensiveness of data, those should be included in the report, and indicate why those are not subject to audit. The CSO representative also asked how these funds should be treated—would it be public or private funds if not subject to audit.

The same CSO representative also commented on the concern raised on the coverage of the report, which may include COVID-19 information from FY 2020. He said that the SDMP realignment for COVID-19 response in communities has been an opportunity for corruption. He cited the case in their community where rice given to community members are of lower price and poor quality. The people do not know whom to hold accountable. The CSO representative asked if there is a way to include such matters in the report to make it comprehensive and relevant.

The Chair sought clarification if the said observations are items that the representative wishes to be included in the contextual information chapter.

The representative confirmed that he wishes the said information to be included in the contextual information to allow a levelling off of how such funds are being used.

The Chair asked the consultant to take note of the comments and to consider conducting more discussions with COA. The Chair is also interested in knowing why some funds are not audited.

The consultant relayed COA's explanation, saying funds not audited include funds established by companies and deposited to a government bank, which makes these funds outside of their radar. In the consultant's understanding, they can check whether the fund has been established but not the funding mechanisms created.

An industry representative commented on the inclusion of COVID-19 in the contextual information, saying that the discovery of the disease in 2019 may serve as indication of what is to come in 2020.

The Chair acknowledged the comment and agreed that it is important to make a mention of the COVID-19 pandemic in the report.

A government representative responded to a comment of one CSO representative, saying that the MGB has addressed an Audit Observation Memo (AOM) from COA. He said that during the Extractives Transparency Week, the administration of funds mentioned has been discussed. He explained that the law does not require those outside mineral reservations to pay such fees.

The Chair shared that one of the provisions in the mining fiscal regime proposed by the government is to have all mining projects, whether in a mineral reservation area or not, pay mining royalties.

A CSO representative asked to consider presenting comparative data on companies' contributions to national development and environmental protection vis-à-vis actual rehabilitation and conservation activities done, to inform needed policy reforms for further environmental protection.



Another CSO representative weighed in on the coverage of the 7th Report, saying that she takes the more liberal position of including some 2020 data and information to ensure that the report remains timely and relevant, at least until PH-EITI is able to produce reports that are more current.

The Chair announced a five-minute health break.

Coming from the break, the secretariat proposed an amendment to the agenda in the interest of time. The secretariat requested the MSG to allow the two remaining presentations to finish in compliance with the consultants' respective TORs. The rest of the items in the original agenda will be communicated to the MSG via email.

The Chair accepted the proposal and proceeded with the next presenter.

iii. Industry Outlook

The consultant, Mr. Jerick Aguilar, presented the overview of the chapter on Industry Outlook. He first presented the Terms of Reference for the project, including the effects of COVID-19 in mining operations and projections on revenues. He then presented the chapter outline. The consultant included new sections not indicated in the TOR—reactions from the private sector and civil society, as well as a section on transparency in reporting.

The content will be comprehensive under the assumption that there will be up-to-date figures from reporting entities and that there will be openness and cooperation of key stakeholders.

He also presented preliminary data available. Lastly, the consultant presented a timeline for the completion of the chapter, indicating that the rest of the current year will be used to follow up on information requests and the analysis of data. A copy of the consultant's presentation is annexed to this document.

The Chair requested that comments and inputs be given after all the remaining presentations are finished. The last presenter was then recognized.

iv. Thematic Report on SDMP

The consultant, Prof. Sharon Macagba, presented the updates on the Thematic Report on SDMP. She started with the rationale, objectives, and scope of the project. The methodology was also presented and the primary data collection strategies were identified. The consultant would highlight participatory mapping for the report on SDMP, but also acknowledged the challenges on mobility because of the pandemic. The data will be analyzed by identifying the participation of the actors, the intervention on development, implementation and monitoring of SDMP. The chapter will also highlight developments and the assessment of impact of SDMP.

The consultant presented a proposed report outline. This include discussion on implementation challenges, innovations, case studies, analysis, and recommendations. The consultant presented preliminary data including sources of these data. She also identified the topics to which these data will contribute. The consultant then presented some initial findings, saying that companies align their SDMP activities with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The consultant said that she is also developing some tools to aid in the methods of data gathering to address the challenges in mobility.



© 5/F DOF Building, Roxas Boulevard corner Pablo Ocampo St., Manila 1004, Philippines

(02) 8525-0487 / (02) 8525-0497 ⋈ pheiti@dof.gov.ph

ph-eiti.dof.gov.ph

More details can be found in the copy of the consultant's presentation that is annexed to this document.

After the presentation, the chair asked the MSG for comments.

A CSO representative requested a clarification on the methodology of data gathering particularly on SDMP impact assessment at the community level.

The consultant said that the preliminary data are primarily from secondary sources but that the intention is to have consultations and participatory mapping following the development of tools. The consultant then gave an assurance that the community will not be left out in the assessment of impact.

 The Chair asked for verification from the CSO representative if the concern has been addressed. The CSO representative confirmed that it has been addressed. He stressed the importance of finding out ways to improve the development of SDMPs. The CSO said he wishes to see efficiency, effectiveness, impact and sustainability in SDMP development and implementation.

With no other comments on the presentations, the Chair asked the secretariat for the next item in the agenda.

The secretariat said that considering the 40-minute overtime, the proposed work plan will just be circulated among the MSG members via email to be tackled in the next meeting.

The secretariat requested members who could stay for another eight minutes for the presentation of a year-end video.

Having discussed the urgent items in the agenda, the meeting was adjourned at 12:50 pm.

- 701 Annex 1. Briefer for 7th Report Chapter 1 Contextual Information
- Annex 2. Briefer for 7th Report Chapter 2 Reconciliation
- 703 Annex 3. Briefer for 7th Report Chapter 3 Industry Outlook
- 704 Annex 4. Briefer for 7th Report Chapter 4 Thematic Report on SDMP