

5/F DOF Building, BSP Complex Roxas Boulevard, Manila Philippines 1004 **Telephone:** 632 525 0497 **Email:** eitiphilippines@gmail.com secretariat@ph-eiti.org

2 3		der Group (MSG) Meeting 8   8:00 AM – 11:00 AM
4	Mactan Room, Sum	mit Circle Hotel, Cebu City
5		
6	Attendees:	
7		
8	Government	
9	Undersecretary Bayani Agabin	Department of Finance (DOF)
10	Asst. Secretary Ma. Teresa Habitan	DOF
11	Ms. Febe Lim	DOF
12	Engr. Romualdo Aguilos	Department of Environment and Natural
13		Resources-Mines and Geosciences Bureau
14		(DENR-MGB)
15		
16	Mr. Shan Barros	Department of Energy (DOE)
17	Mr. Randolf De Dios (RESOURCE PERSON)	DOE Visayas Field Office
18		
19		
20	Industry	
21	Atty. Ronald Rex Recidoro	Chamber of Mines of the Philippines (COMP)
22	Mr. Anthony Ferrer	Petroleum Association of the Philippines
23	Atty. Francis Ballesteros	Philex Mining Corporation, Inc.
24	Mr. Bradley Norman	OceanaGold Philippines, Inc.
25		
26	Mr. Edgar Cutiongco (RESOURCE PERSON)	China International Mining Petroleum (CIMP)
27		
28	Civil Society Organization (CSO)	
29	Ma. Leonora Garcia	Bantay Kita-Publish What You Pay Philippines
30		(BK-PWYP)
31	Mr. Buenaventura Maata, Jr.	Philippine Grassroots Engagement in Rural
32		Development Foundation, Inc. (PhilGrassroots-
33		ERDF)
34	Mr. Chito Trillanes	Social Action Center – Ecology Desk, Diocese of
35		Tandag, Surigao del Sur
36	Ms. Aniceta Baltaar	Concerned Citizens of Abra for Good
37		Governance
38	Mr. Augusto Blanco	Kaimunan Lumad Sang Compostela
39	Ms. Esther Roxanne Veridiano	Philippine Task Force for Indigenous People's
40		Rights
41	Dr. Glenn Pajares	Sectoral Transparency Alliances on Natural

1		Resource Governance in Cebu, Inc.
2	Atty. Jansen Jontila	Environmental Legal Assistance Center, Inc.
3	Dr. Nelson Conigundo Cuaresma	Concerned Advocates Saving Terrestrial and
4		Marine Ecosystems (COASTLINE), Inc.
5		
6	Ms. Joan Altobar	BK-PWYP
7		
8	PH-EITI Secretariat	
9	Atty. Maria Karla Espinosa	
10	Mr. Marco Zaplan	
11	Ms. Mary Ann Rodolfo	
12	Ms. Joylin Saquing	
13	Ms. Roselyn Salagan	
14	Mr. Ryan Justin Dael	
15	Mr. Eastword Manlises	
16	Ms. Maria Lezith Soriano	
17	Ms. Odessa Taguibao	
18		
19	Agenda	
20		
21	<ul><li>Approval of the agenda</li></ul>	
22	<ul> <li>Approval of the minutes of the 54<sup>th</sup></li> </ul>	meeting
23	<ul><li>Matters arising from previous meet</li></ul>	tings
24	<ul><li>Main business</li></ul>	
25	<ul> <li>Updates from 41<sup>st</sup> EITI Board</li> </ul>	d Meeting in Senegal
26	<ul> <li>Progress report on production</li> </ul>	ion of 5 <sup>th</sup> Report (including participation, ORE and
27	ENRDMT data submission, S	SSM, and BO pilots, DAO 2017-07 implementation
28	contextual information)	
29	<ul> <li>Discussion of Draft Contextu</li> </ul>	ual Information
30	<ul> <li>Transparency Awards 2019</li> </ul>	Criteria and Mechanics
31	<ul> <li>Presentation on the Alegria</li> </ul>	Oil Field onshore project
32	<ul><li>Other matters</li></ul>	
33	<ul> <li>Updates on other ongoing/p</li> </ul>	pending activities/matters
34	<ul> <li>Setting of next MSG meting</li> </ul>	
35		
36	1. Call to order	
37		
38	DOF Undersecretary Bayani Agabin cha	ired the 55 <sup>th</sup> MSG meeting. There being a quorum
39	the meeting began at 8:10 AM.	
40		

2. Approval of the agenda

The Chair asked the members if there was any item for inclusion in the agenda. Without any additional items, the agenda was approved.

3 4 5

# 3. Approval of the minutes of the 54th meeting

6 7

8

The Chair gave members 10 days to submit comments, if any, on the minutes of the 54th meeting. Any substantive comment will be opened for discussion. If no comment is received by the secretariat, the minutes will be deemed approved.

9 10 11

As to the minutes of the 53<sup>rd</sup> meeting, no comment was received. Said minutes, therefore, was deemed approved. A CSO representative, though, asked for a copy of the annexes mentioned in the minutes.

13 14 15

12

## 4. Acknowledgment of guests

16 17

18

19

Before proceeding with the meeting, resource persons for the Alegria onshore oil and gas project were acknowledged. They were Mr. Randolf De Dios, Senior Science Research Specialist of DOE Visayas Field Office, and Mr. Edgar Cutiongco, Assistant Country Manager and Director of China International Mining Petroleum Co. Ltd. (CIMP).

20 21 22

# 5. Matters arising from previous meetings

24 25

26

27

28

23

As instructed by the Chair during the previous meeting, the secretariat added more detail on the status of matters that have remained pending for several meetings. These pending matters are the courtesy meetings with the Secretaries of the DOE and the DILG and the

Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Chairperson.

a. Pending meetings with government agency heads

29 30 31

32

33

34

The secretariat proposed the agenda for each meeting. For the DOE Secretary, the agenda included reintroducing PH-EITI and updating the Secretary regarding DOE's performance as an EITI implementing agency. The DOE Secretary, however, is presumed to be aware of EITI, as he himself has attended some PH-EITI events and even delivered a message during

the 38th EITI International Board Meeting in Manila.

35 36 37

38 39

40 41 The secretariat also sought to include the matter of the coal sector's non-participation in EITI, particularly that of Semirara Mining and Power Corporation. The secretariat would like to encourage the Secretary to explore possible solutions like the issuance of an administrative order similar to DENR DAO 2017-07. Lastly, the secretariat would request the Secretary to give a message for inclusion in the 5th Report.

For the DILG Secretary, the proposed agenda is to introduce PH-EITI and discuss DILG's involvement in the initiative through the Bureau of Local Government Development (BLGD) and the ertswhile Philippine Poverty-Environment Initiative (PPEI). Other matters for discussion include LGU issues and pending measures such as the joint circular on enhanced guidelines on the release of LGU shares in national wealth.

For the SEC Chairperson, the secretariat sought to introduce PH-EITI, highlighting DOF's being the focal agency as well as the participation of other DOF attached agencies (BIR, BOC, BTr). The main agenda, however, would be beneficial ownership or BO, as the SEC has been identified as the most apt agency to champion BO disclosure/transparency. The SEC, in fact, has participated in BO-related EITI activities, both in the Philippines and abroad.

The Chair, then, asked that deadlines be set such that said courtesy meetings will not remain floating. The secretariat explained that not all the courtesy meetings are urgent. The meetings with the DOE Secretary and the SEC Chair would be the more important ones, as DOE is a reporting regulatory agency, while SEC is being seen as BO champion and BO disclosure is being piloted in the 5th Report. Meeting with the DILG Secretary is not as urgent.

The Chair asked if the letters could be sent one week after the MSG meeting, to which the secretariat replied in the affirmative.

An industry representative, meanwhile, suggested that a discussion on EITI mainstreaming be added in the agenda for the meetings.

Without any further comment from the members, the Chair asked for the approval of the proposed agenda for the meetings. The agenda were approved.

# b. Proposed PPEI projects/activities for adoption by PH-EITI

The secretariat recalled that in the previous meeting, DILG-BLGD Director and MSG member Anna Bonagua presented the advocacies of PPEI that are related and could be turned over to PH-EITI for continuity, since PPEI already ended in June 2018. The last instruction from the MSG was for the secretariat to discuss the advocacies with Director Bonagua and identify which ones could be adopted by PH-EITI.

The secretariat reiterated that PPEI sought to support the government, civil society, and business sector in optimizing revenues and benefits from sustainable environment and

natural resource management for poverty reduction and environment protection through improved capacity and enabling conditions at the national and local levels.

The secretariat identified the objectives that PH-EITI and PPEI shared:

- Institutionalization of the Environment and Natural Resources Data Management Tool or ENRDMT;
- Improvement of governance processes for the timely release of national wealth; and
- Promotion of data-sharing and transparency in the collection, distribution of national wealth revenues.

Of the PPEI advocacies that DILG/Director Bonagua endorsed for possible turnover to PH-EITI during the last meeeting,<sup>1</sup> the secretariat identified two that could fit in PH-EITI's programs without entailing much additional cost: (1) the joint circular on LGU shares from national wealth ("the JMC") and (2) capacity building on utilization and reporting of national wealth share.

As to the JMC, the secretariat cited monitoring the status of the JMC and re-convening regular meetings (twice or thrice a year) of the inter-agency Environment and Natural Resources Technical Working Group, or ENR TWG, as the specific actions that would be required from PH-EITI.

For the capacity building, the secretariat anticipated having to integrate the relevant activities in the PH-EITI outreach and capacity-building program.

The Chair asked if adopting the JMC meant shepherding it until it gets approved and what PH-EITI's role would be after the JMC is signed. The Alternate Chair also asked who will be in charge of the JMC's implementation.

The secretariat explained that PH-EITI's role would be more in accelerating the issuance of the JMC. The Chair opined that the task and the JMC per se are more relevant to the mandate of the Department of Budget and Management (DBM).

A CSO representative asked what the role of the MSG would be in the adoption of the said advocacy, saying that it appears that only the secretariat would be involved.

The secretariat explained that PH-EITI was part of the ENR TWG that discussed the JMC, among others. PH-EITI, through the secretariat, contributed to, but did not play a central

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (i) JMC on LGU shares from national wealth; (ii) supplemental DENR-DAO to improve SDMP guidelines; (iii) policy reform to facilitate release of monetary benefits to LGUs pertinent to E.R. 1-94 (which has a trust fund balance lodged in DOE amounting to Php 11.63 billion); (iv) promotion of Green Investments among LGUs; and (v) capacity building on utilization and reporting of national wealth share.

role, in the creation of the JMC. Updates on the status of the JMC have been reported on by the DBM during PH-EITI roadshows. The latest update was that comments on the draft had already been received from relevant agencies, and that the draft is now awaiting approval and signature of the heads of agencies. The secretariat also pointed out that it was not the first time that the JMC was discussed by the MSG, since the JMC is actually part of MSG recommendations addressed to DBM.

A CSO representative asked that a separate briefing be given regarding the matter. The secretariat committed to do as instructed, and said that the DBM has a presentation on the proposed JMC which it has delivered during PH-EITI roadshows.

The Alternate Chair gave additional background information on PPEI, saying it was focused more on LGUs and sub-national issues, particularly the downloading of LGU shares from national wealth. She described it as the hottest local finance and budgeting issue, because LGUs were not happy about the delay in the release of their shares. The delay affects LGUs' budgeting process as well as their poverty reduction and local development programs. She opined that it would be a natural progression for PH-EITI to adopt the advocacy, in line with PH-EITI's sub-national initiatives.

An industry representative asked what PH-EITI's role would be in the ENR TWG meetings. The secretariat said that the TWG was co-chaired by the DOF Bureau of Local Government Finance (BLGF) and DILG-BLGD. PH-EITI was part of the TWG but did not play a leadership role. If PH-EITI adopts the advocacy, PH-EITI would be expected to shoulder the cost of the meetings.

 A CSO representative expressed support for the proposed adoption of the advocacy, as he observed that the matter of LGU shares in national wealth was brought up several times during the PH-EITI Roadshow 2018. He believes that PH-EITI has a role in pushing for acceleration of the release of the LGU shares. He acknowledged, though, that the relevance of adopting the JMC to the mandate of PH-EITI must be established.

Another CSO representative sought clarification as to what exactly was the MSG being asked to do.

The secretariat asked the MSG to authorize use of PH-EITI resources for convening the TWG. The secretariat reiterated that DILG was unilaterally pushing for PH-EITI's adoption of the PPEI advocacies so as not to let previous efforts/outputs go to waste.

A government representative recalled that DENR shepherded the JMC before, then the DBM later assumed the role of convening meetings for the JMC. He also recalled that the

recommendation to issue a JMC actually came from MSG-CSO members, and that was how the MSG had been involved in the early stages of the proposed JMC.

A CSO representative believes that shepherding the JMC is still aligned with PH-EITI's mandate, since it has to do with transparency in national wealth shares of LGUs and how these resources are being utilized. She also emphasized the value of validating data with the community.

An industry representative cautioned that shepherding the JMC may eventually impel PH-EITI to implement a policy born of its own recommendation. Implementing the policy, he said, would entail a lot of administrative work.

A government representative suggested that monitoring the status of the JMC could be done through the PH-EITI TWG, which regularly gives updates to the MSG.

The secretariat reiterated that the draft JMC is very relevant to PH-EITI, as it is an offshoot of one of the MSG's earliest MSG recommendations to DBM who, in turn, has reported it as among its EITI-related accomplishments.

In the interest of time, the Alternate Chair summarized the discussion and inferred that the members are okay with adopting the advocacies. She noted that the secretariat will determine the details of transfer and implementation, which may have an impact on PH-EITI's work plan for 2019.

#### 6. Main Business

# a. Updates from 41st EITI Board Meeting in Senegal

The Alternate Chair, who also serves as a member of the EITI Board, reported on the 41st EITI Board Meeting in Senegal, the first African country to have been found compliant with the 2016 EITI Standard. She said that the Board Meeting constituted the initial activity in a three-day Beneficial Ownership conference hosted by EITI and the Senegal government. She shared that the report of the Board's Implementation Committee cited the Philippines for its sub-national efforts, facilitation of policy debates, and innovative communication tools. PH-EITI was likewise mentioned for being on its way to systematic disclosure. She also said that the next Board meeting will be in Ukraine in February 2019, while the next global conference will be held in Paris in June 2019.

# b. Progress report on 5th EITI Report

The secretariat reported 100% submission rate of BIR waivers from targeted producers of condensates, and metallic and non-metallic mines. Submission rate for the targeted oil production was 95%.

Meanwhile, the status of report submission through ORE, or the Online Reporting in the Extractives tool, is as follows: metallic mining, 96.5% of production; non-metallic mining, 84% of targeted production; oil, 95% of production; condensates, 100% of production.

# c. Pilot Beneficial Ownership (BO) Reporting

The secretariat updated the MSG regarding the pilot BO reporting, relating that the BO template has already been integrated in ORE. A run-through has been conducted with OceanaGold Philippines (one project) and Nickel Asia Corporation (four projects). OceanaGold has since completed its report. The deadline of submission of BO reports is on 14 November 2018.

The secretariat also presented the draft outline for the BO report for inclusion as a chapter in the 5th Report. A copy of the draft outline is annexed to this document.

In addition, the secretariat reported that PH-EITI has started mapping with available data and has reached out to OpenOwnership, a CSO-backed international online platform for BO disclosure.

#### d. Pilot Reporting on Small-scale Mining (SSM)

As to the pilot reporting on SSM, the secretariat has conducted data collection and consultation and workshop on the reporting template on 11 October 2018 at the MGB Regional Office XII (MGB RO XII). Participants include the South Cotabato Provincial Environment Management Office or PEMO, MGB RO XII, NCIP RO XII, and T'boli Minahang Bayan Multi-purpose Cooperative (TMBMPC). The secretariat is also scheduled to hold a walk-through discussion with the miners on 15 November 2018 regarding especially the required BIR waiver.

The draft outline for the SSM pilot report for inclusion as a chapter in the 5th Report was also presented to the body. A copy of the draft outline is annexed to this document.

### e. Implementation of DENR DAO 2017-07

The secretariat expressed appreciation for MGB's efforts to enforce DAO 2017-07 in relation to the submission of BIR waivers and required data for the 5th Report. The secretariat attributed the high submission rates to MGB's actions, including

communications sent to companies that did not immediately participate in the reporting process. MGB's efforts, the secretariat related, were not only at the national level, but were also supplemented by actions taken by the regional offices.

A government representative shared that, in addition to the implementation of DAO 2017-07, MGB has recently issued a memorandum circular on a standard monitoring checklist for all mining contractors. Participation in the EITI process had been included in the checklist, and the same was duly approved by MGB's management committee.

# f. Draft Contextual Information

The secretariat presented the revised detailed outline of the Contextual Information chapter of the 5th Report (Chapter I), noting that comments from the MSG during the previous meeting have already been integrated therein.

The secretariat explained that the numbers in parentheses that appear on the title of each part of the Contextual Information are references to relevant requirements of the 2016 EITI Standard, placed there to help ensure compliance with the Standard.

The secretariat has also proposed "Forging New Frontiers" as the report's working title and theme. A consultant, Dr. Ma. Cecilia Soriano, has been hired to write the Contextual Information chapter.

The secretariat asked if the members have any further comments and asked for the body's approval of the theme.

A CSO representative looked for a summary report on the issues and concerns raised during the PH-EITI Roadshow and asked if the same could be included in the 5th Report.

The secretariat said that there is a space in the report for the issues and concerns raised during the roadshows. It will not, however, be in the form of a detailed account; rather, it will be integrated in relevant parts such as the Contextual Information and in the recommendations of the MSG members. An account of the roadshow is reported through another document, that is, the Annual Progress Report.

The secretariat then said that work on the Contextual Information is ongoing, and that the consultant committed to submit a first draft on 19 November 2018. The secretariat apologized for the delay, as it had been communicated to the body earlier that the draft would be submitted in August. The secretariat committed to email the draft to the members as soon as it becomes available. The secretariat also suggested that it may be more convenient or efficient if a smaller group would be formed to look into the draft

1 2	first. An online document (Google docs) has also been set up so that members can give comments more easily.
3	comments more easily.
4	The secretariat pointed out that the process really requires an Independent Administrator
5	(IA); hence, reports from the IA are expected to come in, moving forward.
6	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
7	g. Transparency Awards 2019 Criteria and Mechanics
8	
9	The secretariat recalled that the proposed criteria and mechanics of the Transparency
10	Awards 2019 had been discussed during the 53rd meeting, but further discussion on the
11	same was deferred during the 54th meeting in the interest of time.
12	
13	The secretariat reoriented the members about the Transparency Awards, which are
14	honors given by the MSG every year during the PH-EITI National Conference to recognize
15	outstanding performance and achievement in the implementation of EITI in the
16	Philippines. The selection committee is composed of MSG members and the IA.
17	
18	For 2019, the proposal is to confer at least four (4) awards:
19	<ul> <li>Seal of Transparency</li> </ul>
20	Top 3: Excellence in Reporting
21	<ul> <li>Top 1 'Newbie': Strong Starter of the Year</li> </ul>
22	<ul> <li>Transparency Champion</li> </ul>
23	<ul> <li>Special Citation</li> </ul>
24	
25	The secretariat presented the mechanics for each of the categories. General mechanics
26	include the following:
27	<ul> <li>All EITI reporting entities are qualified for the search;</li> </ul>
28	The selection committee evaluates the nominees and recommends awardees to the
29	MSG; and
30	The MSG approves the recommendations.
31	
32	For the Transparency Champion, MSG members can nominate. The Special Citation is
33	reserved for any other specific recognition of a person deserving the same.
34	
35	The secretariat pointed out details of the mechanics that have yet to be filled out, for
36	instance, the threshold score. Once final and approved, the mechanics will be circulated.
37	
38	The secretariat asked the members for suggestions for the composition of the selection
39	committee.
40	
41	A CSO representative inquired about the timeline for the Transparency Awards.

The secretariat said that nominees must ideally be in by end of the year. Evaluation will be in January of the following year, and the National Conference, where the awards will be given, will be held preferably not later than March of the same year.

An industry representative reiterated a previous suggestion to invite or allow shortlisted nominees to submit additional data or supporting documents to back up their nomination. The secretariat recalled the Alternate Chair's previous comment on the same suggestion: the Awards and the selection process must be shielded from politics. However, if the MSG so deems it, however, shortlisted nominees may be asked to submit supporting documents.

A CSO representative noticed that only government agencies and reporting companies are eligible for nomination. She asked if CSOs pushing for transparency or those which have utilized PH-EITI data could be considered for the awards as well. The secretariat clarified that for the Seal of Transparency awards (Excellence in Reporting, and Strong Starter of the Year), only reporting entities are eligible for nomination. For the Transparency Champion, however, anyone may be nominated, including NGOs.

A CSO representative inquired about the process of validation. The secretariat said that the details of nomination and validation could be determined by the selection committee to be formed. The Chair concurred, and asked who among the members wished to be part of the selection committee. The CSO representatives deferred to Bantay Kita to determine who is most competent to be part of the selection committee on behalf of the CSOs. COMP will also choose among themselves who will join the committee. Aside from sector representatives, the Chair identified the Alternate Chair as automatic member of the committee.

The Chair said that, conceptually, the details of the Transparency Awards are okay. He then asked about the criteria for judging. The secretariat said that in previous years, the criteria included timeliness and no/low discrepancy. For Transparency Awards 2019, however, there are new factors for consideration, since reporting is already done online. The selection committee should finalize the criteria for judging.

 A CSO representative asked whether there has been any instance when PH-EITI was recognized by the media or any government agency for the wealth of data it produces. The secretariat said that PH-EITI has not yet received any commendation from the media. In fact, PH-EITI is the one reaching out to members of the media to make use of PH-EITI datasets in news reporting. The secretariat did note that there has been an increase in public awareness of PH-EITI data. Also, PH-EITI has been recognized internationally, particularly through the EITI Chair's Awards.

The Chair remarked that it may be a good motivation to target an EITI Chair's Award. He asked what awards will be given in the following year. The secretariat recalled that PH-EITI was recognized before for efforts at the sub-national level but that the award categories vary from year to year.

The secretariat committed to follow up with the members regarding the selection committee and the specifics of the nomination form and validation.

### h. Presentation on the Alegria Oil Field onshore project

On behalf of DOE Energy Resource Development Bureau Director Melita Obillo, Science Research Specialist Mr. Shan Barros gave an overview on Service Contract No. 49 (SC 49) or the Alegria Oil Field onshore project. The presentation covered, among others, the project's reserves computation, updated economic forecast for 20 years, and estimated shares between the government and CIMP.

SC 49 was previously operated by Phil-Mal Energy International, Inc. (2005-2006), and Ranhill Energy Sdn. Bhd. (2006-2009). Currently, SC 49 is being operated by CIMP (2009 to present). The project is located in Southern Cebu and has a total area coverage of 197,000 has and total production area of 42,749 has. To date, CIMP has drilled six wells, two of which are for oil, three are for gas, and the last one is still for evaluation.

 On 19 May 2018, President Duterte graced the ceremonial commencement of the field's oil and gas production. Moving forward, CIMP plans to drill 17 additional wells until 2028. For 2018, CIMP has committed to drill three additional wells and increase oil production in Polyard-3 and Polyard-8. The company also plans to put up a gas mini-power plant to supply electricity to Alegria and nearby municipalities.

In addition to Mr. Barros' presentation, CIMP Assistant Country Manager and Director Mr. Edgar Cutiongco explained that SC 49 is an onshore license block with proven hydrocarbon accumulation. His presentation showed the process and activities through which the reservoir had been tested and proven to have commercial flow. The field has a lifespan of 25 years and the extension period for the production is up to 15 years.

 Pursuant to the approved POD, CIMP signed on 12 March 2018 a crude oil SPA with a local buyer. Oil sale has since officially commenced. Daily crude oil production is expected to reach 1,800 barrels per day (BOPD) and annual production to reach approximately 540,000 barrels. Daily gas production is expected to reach 324,000 cubic meters per day and annual production to reach approximately 97,200,000 cubic meters.

Aside from the project's technical details, Mr. Cutiongco made a presentation on Presidential Decree 87 or the Oil Exploration and Development Act of 1972. He showed CIMP's Environmental Compliance Certificate, Environmental Impact Assessment Report,

1 and petroleum refining process. He said that CIMP is duly following the guidelines in the 2 service contract. 3 4 Mr. Cutiongco also emphasized that SC 49 is a marginal oil field, which meant that it only 5 operates when oil price is high. If the oil price goes down, the operation will temporarily stop so as to optimize sales from production. He also described SC 49 as the first 6 7 commercial onshore oil and gas production in the country. 8 9 Mr. Cutiongco also explained that extracting oil always comes with associated gas. CIMP 10 and the government, however, do not have a facility to handle gas as of the moment. For safety, gas is flared during extraction. CIMP is looking into harnessing gas later on to 11 12 generate electricity for the people of Alegria. 13 14 Mr. Cutiongco also responded to some questions of MSG members. He shared, for 15 instance, that the service contract in the Philippines is modelled after Indonesia's. The 16 difference is that Indonesia has its own facilities. 17 A CSO representative asked whether the case of Tañon Strait, the Philippines largest 18 19 marine conservation area, was considered during the exploration. Mr. Cutiongco 20 responded in the affirmative and shared that it was already an issue during the time of JAPEX or the Japan Petroleum Exploration. 21 22 A mining industry representative asked how government monitors volume. A petroleum 24 industry representative explained that oil companies send reports to DOE everyday. The 25 Bureau of Customs also has its own monitoring officers.

23

Mr. Cutiongco also shared that onshore and offshore oil fields are very different in terms

of production.

27 28

26

29 30 CSO representatives asked about reserve funds for decommissioning and how it is done.

31 32

Another CSO representative asked about the workers and how many of them are members of the community.

33 34 35

Mr. Cutiongco said that they have minimalist production. They hire and train operators from the community.

36 37 38

Another CSO representative inquired about the take of the Department of Health on the gas flaring, the health and environmental concerns related to it.

39 40 41

42

43

Mr. Cutiongco shared that on the flaring side, DENR does the monitoring. The DOE and the LGU also have their own monitoring mechanisms. He said that they have constant engagement with the DOE regarding the flaring, but he also explained that the flare is not much and that it is being done precisely for safety.

A CSO representative inquired about the standard or maximum allowable content that can be flared up and the specific components that are released in the air. He asked how the DENR or any other agency monitors the status of the atmosphere.

A government representative said that DENR does quarterly monitoring of air and water quality.

A CSO representative asked if information on air and water quality could be shared with the public.

Mr. Cutiongco said that he will check if data on chemicals released in the air are available. He said EMB is monitoring these chemicals. There are also detectors in the area to monitor poisonous gases. CIMP, he said, is aware of the standards of EMB. He also reiterated CIMP's plan to eventually use gas to generate electricity. The process involves taking out the flare and putting it straight into a turbine. This way, the whole resource is optimized.

#### 7. Other Matters

# a. Updates on ongoing/pending activities/matters

The secretariat reported on other ongoing or pending matters, including the EITI impact survey being done with the UP School of Statistics, the feasibility survey for mainstreaming, and the revamp of the PH-EITI website. The next step for the feasibility survey is to procure the online platform for its conduct. The secretariat also shared that it is working with Bantay Kita on a roundtable discussion on responsible mining.

# b. Stakeholder consultation in Alegria

The secretariat gave an administrative briefing on the scheduled stakeholder consultation in Alegria. The secretariat discussed the itinerary and how the discussion will be handled.

The Chair asked about the content of the program. The secretariat said that while the dialogue may focus on the oil field, the matter can also be discussed in relation to fiscal transparency.

A CSO representative thought the consultation is an opportunity to engage the community, but cautioned that the discussion cannot just be anything under the sun.

The CIMP representative said he can give a presentation on the oil field project, although his impression was that the consultation will focus on revenue sharing.

An industry representative asked if the mayor and the members of the municipal council are running for reelection. He feared the activity may be used for electioneering.

The Chair urged the moderators and the members of the MSG to stay focused on a topic where the presence of the MSG members could be of value and contribute to the discussion.

### c. On reimbursements, flight bookings, and per diem

A CSO representative raised a concern about reimbursements and flight bookings, asking if MSG-CSO members' other activities in Metro Manila could be considered in booking their roundtrip flights.

The secretariat expressed regret that due to constraints imposed by COA (Commission on Audit) and other government rules, requests of MSG members to be booked flights outside the inclusive dates of the DOF DPO (department personnel order) covering the MSG meeting cannot be granted. The secretariat explained that the names of MSG members have to be included in the DPO in order for DOF to pay for the expenses related to the activity/event (i.e., transportation, accommodation and meals). The duration and place of the activity indicated in the DPO must be strictly observed; otherwise, the corresponding expenses will be disallowed. Previous expenses related to allowing similar requests in the past have in fact been disallowed.

 In addition, the Alternate Chair said that the requests may seem simple, but in the past, she had to pay for a disallowance amounting to PHP 310,000.00 with her personal funds. She also lost three years of Performance-Based Bonus because of the disallowance. She said that it is not that they do not want to consider the concerns of MSG members, but that the problems always stop with them who sign/authorize the expenditures.

The CSO representative appreciated the explanation given. He related that CSO representatives are not given any allowance by their respective organizations to attend the meetings. He asked if it is possible for allowances to be given to MSG-CSO members.

The Chair suggested that the request be addressed outside the MSG as an administrative matter.

### d. Setting of next MSG meeting

The secretariat proposed 14 December 2018 as the date of the next MSG meeting. Several matters will be taken up, including the review and approval of the 5<sup>th</sup> Report. The secretariat also proposed to conduct an assessment of PH-EITI activities from July to December 2018 as part of the agenda. Several things were also targeted to be launched during the next meeting, such as the new PH-EITI website, the impact survey results, and the mainstreaming initiative. The secretariat anticipated a wholeday meeting that will be held in conjunction with the customary MSG Christmas/yearend activity.

Without any other matter to be discussed, the 55<sup>th</sup> meeting was adjourned at 11:30 AM.

**ANNEXES** 

- 1 Draft outline of BO pilot report
- 2 Draft outline of SSM pilot report
- 3 Revised outline of the Contextual Information chapter