

8/F EDPC Building Roxas Blvd. corner Pablo Ocampo St. Manila, Philippines 1004 **Telephone:** 632 525 0487 **Email:** eitiphilippines@gmail.com info@ph-eiti.org

1			
2	53 <sup>rd</sup> Multi-Stakeho	lder Group (MSG) Meeting	
3	30 August 2018   2:00 PM - 6:00 PM		
4	Aureo La Ui	nion, San Fernando	
5			
6	Attendees:		
7			
8	Government		
9	Asst. Secretary Ma. Teresa Habitan	Department of Finance (DOF)	
10	Ms. Febe Lim	DOF	
11	Engr. Romualdo Aguilos	Department of Environment and Natural	
12		Resources -Mines and Geosciences Bureau	
13		(DENR-MGB)	
14	Engr. Carlos Tayag, Regional Director		
15	(sat in per instruction of MGB Director)	DENR-MGB Region I	
16	Ms. Maricor Ann Cauton	Union of Local Authorities of the Philippines	
17		(ULAP)	
18	Ms. Rhizzalyn Bautista	Department of the Interior and Local	
19		Government (DILG)	
20			
21	Industry		
22	Atty. Ronald Rex Recidoro	Chamber of Mines of the Philippines (COMP)	
23	Atty. Francis Ballesteros	Philex Mining Corporation	
24	Mr. Gerard Brimo (waived quorum)	COMP	
25			
26	Civil Society Organization (CSO)		
27	Ms. Maria Kristina Pimentel	Bantay Kita – Publish What You Pay Philippines	
28	Mr. Buenaventura Maata, Jr.	Philippine Grassroots Engagement in Rural	
29		Development Foundation, Inc. (PhilGrassroots-	
30		ERDF)	
31	Mr. Augusto Blanco	IP Representative, Mandaya Tribe, Compostela	
32		Valley	
33	Mr. Chito Trillanes	Social Action Center – Ecology Desk, Diocese of	
34		Tandag, Surigao del Sur	
35	Ms. Aniceta Baltar	Concerned Citizens of Abra for Good	
36		Governance	
37	Ms. Roxanne Veridiano	Philippine Task Force for Indigenous Peoples'	
38		Rights	
39	Mr. Nelson Cuaresma	Concerned Advocates Saving Terrestrial and	
40		Marine Ecosystems (COASTLINE), Inc.	

1	Mr	Mr. Glenn Pajares Sectoral Transparency Alliance on Natu	ral
2		Resource Governance in Cebu, Inc.	
3			
4	РΗ	PH-EITI Secretariat	
5	Att	Atty. Maria Karla Espinosa	
6	Ms	Ms. Joylin Saquing	
7	Ms	Ms. Roselyn Salagan	
8	Mr	Mr. Marco Zaplan	
9	Mr	Mr. Ryan Justin Dael	
10	Mr	Mr. Eastword Manlises	
11	Ms	Ms. Odessa Taguibao	
12	Mr	Mr. Jaime Miguel	
13	Mr	Mr. Ricardo Evora	
14	Ms	Ms. Angelina Alba	
15	Ms	Ms. Rhea Bagacay	
16	Ms	Ms. Marie Lezith Soriano	
17	Ms	Ms. Jesusa Calma	
18			
19	Ag	Agenda:	
20			
21	•	Approval of the Agenda	
22	•	<ul> <li>Approval of the Minutes of the 52nd MSG Meeting</li> </ul>	
23	•	<ul> <li>Matters arising from previous MSG Meetings</li> </ul>	
24	•	Main Business	
25		<ul> <li>Recap of the 2018 Roadshow</li> </ul>	
26		<ul> <li>Report on ORE Launch</li> </ul>	
27		$\circ$ Updates on the production of the 5 $^{ ext{th}}$ Report (ORE Deployment, DAC	201-07
28		implementation)	
29		<ul> <li>Discussion of draft Contextual Information</li> </ul>	
30		<ul> <li>Transparency Awards 2019 criteria and mechanics</li> </ul>	
31	•	Other Matters	
32		<ul> <li>EITI partial assumption of PPEI program</li> </ul>	
33		<ul> <li>Updates on ongoing/pending activities/matters including impact survey,</li> </ul>	APR
34		ratification	
35		<ul> <li>Setting of next MSG meeting</li> </ul>	
36 37			
38	1.	1. Call to order	
39			
40		DOF Asst. Secretary Ma. Teresa Habitan chaired the 53 <sup>rd</sup> MSG meeting. The se	cretariat
41		informed the Chair that Mr. Gerry Brimo would send his waiver of quorum. There	
42		quorum, the meeting started at 2:15 p.m.	_

## 2. Approval of provisional agenda

The Chair directed the body's attention to the provisional agenda and asked the members if there are any other matters they would like to add. A CSO representative requested the matter of a round table discussion on responsible mining to be added to the agenda.

The Chair asked for approval of the agenda and the members moved and seconded the same.

# 3. Approval of the minutes of the 52<sup>nd</sup> MSG meeting

The Chair reminded the members to send their comments regarding the minutes of the previous meeting within seven (7) days. If no comments are received by the secretariat, the minutes will be deemed approved.

### 4. Matters arising from previous MSG meetings

The secretariat reported that there are only three items in the list of matters arising from previous meetings, and all have to do with courtesy meetings with the Secretaries of the Department of Energy (DOE) and the Department of Interior and Local Government (DILG) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Although these agencies had been contacted, requesting for the meetings has been temporarily suspended pending consolidation of the agenda which the MSG may want to define or refine, considering recent developments in EITI, such as mainstreaming. The letters will incorporate the agenda and be signed by DOF Undersecretary Agabin as MSG Chair.

## 5. Recap of 2018 Roadshow

The secretariat delivered a video and Powerpoint presentation for the recap of the 2018 Roadshow that was just concluded the day before in Baguio City.

The secretariat thanked the MSG members for taking part in the roadshow as resource persons and speakers. The secretariat then presented statistics on participant turnout and feedback from the seven legs of the roadshow held in the last two months (July – August). The numbers reported included the following:

• In total, there were 25 provinces, 55 municipalities, and 18 cities (total of 98 LGUs) that participated in the roadshow.

- National government agencies (MGB, EMB, BLGF, NCIP, DBM), both central offices and regional offices in 15 regions also participated.
- Industry representatives from 63 mining companies were also present.
   Representatives from civil society organizations, academe and media were also present.
- The roadshow participants numbered 804 in total, broken down as follows:

Regions Covered	Date	Venue	No. of Participants
IV-A, IV-B, NCR	July 9	Manila	126
III	July 12	Clark	78
VI, VII, VIII	July 24	Cebu	116
IX, X, XI, XII, ARMM	July 26	Davao	104
XIII	August 7	Surigao	191
V	August 15	Masbate	59
CAR, I, II	August 29	Baguio	130

The secretariat noted what have been added to this year's roadshow coverage compared to last year's: Region XII because of their best practices in small-scale mining (South Cotabato), which will be included in the next country report; and Region V as a separate leg in order to give due attention to the mining-related concerns in Bicol, which move received positive feedback from the LGUs of the region.

The number of targeted LGUs vis-à-vis the number of actual attendees was presented:

Regions Covered	Venue	Target	Actual
CAR, I, II	Baguio	12	10
III	Clark	7	6
IV-A, IV-B, NCR	Manila	21	13
V	Masbate	4	2
VI, VII, VIII	Cebu	18	12
IX, X, XI, XII, ARMM	Davao	11	9 (+3)
XIII	Surigao	26	25

The full list of attendees of the roadshow can be found in the Annex.

The secretariat proceeded to report the results of the feedback form filled out by participants of the roadshow:

- The form was completed by 472 respondents, giving a response rate of 58.71%.
- The Surigao leg has the highest response rate of 29.87% (141 responses), followed by the Baguio leg with 18.64% (88 responses). Majority of respondents was from the LGU and industry sectors.
- Overall assessment of the event: 87% of respondents rated the event as excellent and very good.
- Content of the program: 83.69% of respondents rated the content of the conference (as a whole) as *excellent* and *very good*.
- Quality of the speakers/ resource persons: 82% of respondents rated quality of speakers as *excellent* and *very good*.
- Quality of the discussion: 80.72% of respondents rated the quality of discussions had for each session as *excellent* and *very good*.
- Structure and format of the sessions: 76% of respondents rated the structure and format of the sessions as *excellent* and *very good*.
- Logistics of the forum/workshop: 85% of respondents rated the logistics of the conference as *excellent* and *very good*.
- Comments included expressions of affirmation and congratulations and suggestions to give more time for open forum and to include BIR and NCIP as resource persons. There was also a suggestion to do at least a two-day program for better and more productive discussions.

A CSO representative recalled that Mayor Pichay of the municipality of Cantilan in Surigao del Sur approached him during the roadshow and asked if PH-EITI can visit Cantilan, since they are planning to create an ordinance on EITI implementation there.

The secretariat acknowledged the conversation with Mayor Pichay and shared other comments from LGU officials during the Roadshow, like a Board Member of Bulacan who asked if PH-EITI had a draft ordinance for EITI localization that he could sponsor.

The Chair remarked that PH-EITI is creating impact in the local level.

This was followed by a series of comments from MSG members regarding PH-EITI's roadshow or local outreach:

- A CSO representative suggested that the registration form include a field for IP tribe/group affiliation.
- An industry representative suggested that a town hall type of forum be done at the community level.
- A government representative commented that a town hall forum is promising but would be quite challenging due to general lack of facilities. She suggested

- Dinagat Island as a possible pilot area for this type of roadshow, since the LGU has access to a large covered court and electricity.
- The Chair suggested that a pilot be done in one area for next year's roadshow.
- Another CSO representative supported the proposal to have more time for open discussion with the community where positive impact of the roadshow can be more felt
- A government representative mentioned that if the proposal is pursued, the materials to be used should be localized or in the local language.
- A CSO representative said that the town hall meeting can be complemented with a mine site and community visit.
- The secretariat mentioned that they have been conducting mine site and community visits since last year. PH-EITI has gone to Brgys. Ampucao in Benguet, Didipio in Nueva Vizcaya (OceanaGold), Don Andres Soriano in Cebu (Carmen Copper), Hayanggabon and Taganito in Surigao del Norte (Taganito Mining Corporation), Maco in Compostela Valley (Apex Mining Co.), Filminera, Aroroy in Masbate (Filminera Resources and Masbate Gold Project), Tubay in Agusan del Norte. This year, aside from meeting with barangay/community and municipality officials/leaders, PH-EITI also turned over two (2) Bayaminahan Boards or transparency boards formatted for the municipalities (Maco and Aroroy) to enable them to monitor their revenues from extractives.
- Another CSO representative suggested to include BIR in the roadshow, which is consistent with participant feedback from the roadshow, as local stakeholders are interested in their share in national wealth.
- Another CSO representative lauded the giving of transparency boards to the LGUs and asked if this can be done for IP communities to help them know how much they are getting.
- Another CSO representative shared his experience where, before conducting a
  forum, they did a radio program where they called the community and a
  resource person by phone patch. This helped prepare the stakeholders to
  participate in the forum.

The Chair thanked the MSG members for their comments and suggestions and stated that the general idea is for EITI to go deeper down and communicate effectively. She then directed the body's attention to the video presentation recapping the 2018 Roadshow. The video lasted for about 19 minutes. The Chair thanked the secretariat for the video production/presentation.

#### 6. Report on ORE Launch

The secretariat reported that the launch of the ORE (Online Reporting in the Extractives) tool held on August 24 had a 95% turnout rate with positive overall rating (*good* to *excellent*) of 93%. Participants rated the tool as useful and easy-to-use.

The secretariat thanked the MSG members who attended and supported the launch.

They also reported that ORE would soon be deployed, with data collection set to take place from September 3 to October 1. Enhancement of the ORE tool, based on comments from the targeted users, was ongoing.

## 7. Updates on production of the 5<sup>th</sup> Report

The secretariat proceeded to give updates on the progress of 5<sup>th</sup> Report production:

- The 5<sup>th</sup> Report is envisioned to be more concise and reduced to the most relevant data, with possible disaggregation of data per region and LGU. It will just make references to previous reports and online portals, as appropriate/necessary.
- Status of BIR waivers 56% submission as of the August 24 deadline (37 out of 68 companies; 74 projects)
- Summary of submissions
  - Submissions: 37
    - Metallic: 29
    - Nonmetallic: 5
    - Coal: 0
    - Oil and Gas: 3
  - o Non-submissions: 31
    - Metallic: 14
    - Nonmetallic: 9

Oil and Gas: 7

- Coal: 1

For mining, the secretariat noted that one possible reason for non-submission was that the companies had no production in 2017. For oil and gas, the biggest service contract holder, Malampaya, has submitted its waiver, and Galoc already said it will submit a waiver. The other companies who have not submitted are the five companies who have traditionally not participated in past PH-EITI reports.

Regarding the SSM (small-scale mining) pilot reporting, the secretariat reported that there is already a working template for the *Minahang Bayan*. A workshop with PMRBs was conducted on June 25; and there will be a workshop with South Cotabato, the LGU identified for pilot testing, on September 17. For the BO (beneficial ownership) pilot reporting, inputs to the BO declaration form were collected in a session during the PHOGP (Philippine Open Government Partnership) Week, including from MSG members who participated in the event. Possible volunteer companies for the pilot reporting were

identified during the TWG meeting on May 28.

2
 3

A CSO representative asked if the companies identified for BO pilot reporting have given consent to the pilot.

An industry representative said that he is waiting for more information to push the idea with mining companies.

The secretariat proceeded to inform the MSG members that initial reconciliation for the 5<sup>th</sup> Report can possibly be generated and presented to the MSG during the 54<sup>th</sup> MSG Meeting.

A CSO representative inquired about the proposed Contextual Information outline expected by end of August. The secretariat said that the matter is part of the agenda.

A CSO representative raised two questions: (1) What are the targets and timeline for the report and how can the members help meet them? (2) Has it been made clear to the IA that it should provide some interpretation of the reconciliation results/data? He also pointed out that some parts of the (latest) country report are not consistent.

The secretariat said that they will give a timeline based on the TOR, although bureaucratic realities, particularly as regards procurement, have made identifying a fixed timeline quite challenging. The original timeline, which targeted report approval by October 31, had been adjusted at least twice already. As soon as milestones in the process are fixed, the Secretariat will give a more definite timeline.

The Chair acknowledged that the struggle is real when it comes to getting approvals for contracts, because of checks and balances that are being implemented. Nonetheless, best efforts will be done.

As to ensuring the participation of mining companies, a government representative said that during an MGB ManCom meeting held in August, it was agreed that regional directors will follow up companies within their respective jurisdictions who have not submitted waivers, and remind them that DAO 2017-07 is already being enforced.

A CSO representative asked if there is a better mechanism this year to ensure accuracy of data. She mentioned that not all the data sets or tables in the last report were accurate or consistent. It may be unfair to the companies and government agencies who reported correctly but the same is not reflected in the country report.

The secretariat took note of comments and said that they will discuss them with the IA.

It was also mentioned that an advantage of a slimmer report is it would be easier to review and check the content.

The secretariat also mentioned that they have created a database to track the compliance of companies as regards participating in the 5<sup>th</sup> Report. The secretariat edits and updates the information, but the MSG/public can access and view the information.

This is the link: www.bit.ly/fifthreport

The Chair requested for a press release about this database on company compliance with PH-EITI reporting.

#### 8. Discussion of the Contextual Information outline

The secretariat directed the members' attention to the outline provided by Dr. Chil Soriano, the consultant engaged to write the Contextual Information chapter. Secretariat has met and discussed the 5<sup>th</sup> Report with the consultant.

According to the secretariat, Dr. Soriano proposed to write separate discussions for mining and for oil and gas. A third section will tackle common issues related to the extractive industries. The fourth section will talk about PH-EITI as an organization. Dr. Soriano sent the provisional outline and asked for MSG members' feedback/comments. The secretariat related that Dr. Soriano had attended relevant PH-EITI events, including the roadshow, to get a better sense of the issues and concerns. Dr. Soriano envisioned the contextual information to read more like a story, which is consistent with previous comments of the Chair DOF Undersecretary Agabin on the 4<sup>th</sup> Report. The provisional outline sent by Dr. Soriano is in the Annex.

The following comments were given regarding the Contextual Information outline:

 A CSO representative asked if EITI International looks at the length of the report in assessing compliance with the EITI requirements. He asked if PH-EITI can design and customize a report that is shorter, simplified, and more useful for its context.

Another CSO representative inquired if the progress report will be included.

• An industry representative asked about the discussion on social and environmental funds. He suggested including boxed stories to add flavor or give a human face to the report. For example: a story about how a community maximized the SDMP, or IP's use of their royalties.

• A government representative supported the comment of the industry representative and added that the PESTL format (Political, Environmental, Sociological, Technological, Legal) format can be followed. She also suggested

that economies of scale be discussed under mining economic contribution, and that it be ensured that the terminology used (e.g., GRDP) is consistent.

- An industry representative suggested that a story may be chosen from the PPI media fellowship program.
- The secretariat mentioned that past country reports had contributions from MSG members. Present MSG members might have stories they would want included in the contextual information.
- A government representative noted that laws and policy issuances, which used to be part of contextual information, is missing in the proposed outline.
- A CSO representative suggested including the implementation of DAO 2017-07, what MGB and EMB have done to enforce it, and companies' responses.
- A CSO representative mentioned the SDMP and the results of a study showing that implementation of the SDMP does not make the community self-reliant by the end of the mine life. He said that LGUs and companies should work together more closely, especially in local development planning and SDMP programming, to make good use of the SDMP.
- As regards DAO 2017-07 implementation (as to the 4<sup>th</sup> Report), a government representative related that, as of Aug 30, there has been no reply from Cambayas and Wellex. The others have either submitted their waiver and reporting template or replied that they did not have enough time to submit. Some (e.g., Republic Cement) did express intention to join the next year cycle of reporting. Semirara sent a reply to the show-cause letter, claiming that it is not covered by the DAO.
- The secretariat then asked about how to treat companies who accomplished the reporting template but did not submit a BIR waiver.
- The Chair said that the template and BIR waiver should go together, because the data have to be reconciled. Without the waiver, the template is just a unilateral submission. Also, what is needed is not just submission, but *timely* submission such that the data can still be reconciled by the IA.
- The Chair read the part of the DAO that says "in accordance to the requirements set by the Philippine Multi-stakeholders [sic] Group". She said that the MSG can require that the reporting template should come with a waiver.
- An industry representative said that based on the wording of the DAO, the MSG
  is authorized to provide the guidelines on how companies and agencies should
  report in EITI. He suggested coming up with a written set of guidelines to submit
  to MGB to serve as basis for determining compliance or non-compliance.
- A government representative inquired if the MSG can ask DENR to issue a clarificatory guideline.
- A CSO representative said that the MSG can enumerate the criteria to be considered compliant.
- The secretariat said that they can draft guidelines for approval of the MSG. They

- just have to wait for the ORE tool/system to go live, then they can start setting deadlines.
  - The Chair thanked all the MSG members for their inputs and requested them to send via email any additional suggestions they may have on the Contextual Information outline.
  - A CSO representative asked if the contextual information can include a discussion on conflicting government issuances, as this can provide a platform for the MSG to discuss these issuances and how to harmonize them. She gave as an example the DENR issuance on FPIC which is in conflict with an NCIP issuance – DENR requires FPIC only during the initial stage, while NCIP can require FPIC at any stage.
  - The Chair acknowledged the issue and said that it has to be discussed, since we have only one government.

### 9. Criteria and Mechanics for Transparency Awards 2019

The Secretariat explained that the Transparency Awards was included in the agenda to give ample time for the MSG to prepare for next year's awarding, as well as for the secretariat to be able to comply with administrative deadlines within DOF.

The secretariat presented the background of the Transparency Awards, including its history (started in 2016; given annually during the National Conference), purpose (recognition, incentive, and branding), and criteria and mechanics used in previous years (for the *Excellence in Reporting* award and the *Special Citation: Outstanding Commitment to Transparency* award). Details can be found in the presentation annexed hereto.

The secretariat then proceeded to present the draft proposed criteria and mechanics for the Transparency Awards in 2019.

The following are the proposed awards for 2019:

- A. The *Seal of Transparency* (SOT) given when a reporting entity achieves a set score (e.g., 80% and above) based on set criteria
  - The top 3 awardees will, in addition, be conferred the *Excellence in Reporting* award
  - The highest ranked "newbie" (new participant) will, in addition, be conferred the "Rising Star" (tentative name) award
- B. Transparency Champion/s

2	<ul> <li>All reporting entities are automatically candidates for the SOT.</li> </ul>
3	The categories used in previous years will be the same (NGA, LGU, companies
4	[metallic mining, non-metallic mining, oil & gas])
5	General criteria for judging :
6	<ul> <li>Timeliness of submission</li> </ul>
7	<ul> <li>Data quality (comprehensiveness/completeness)</li> </ul>
8	<ul> <li>Least discrepancy (c/o IA)</li> </ul>
9	
10	SOT JUDGING/EVALUATION PROCESS
11	
12	<u>Level 1</u> : Pre-screening
13	BIR waiver
14	<ul> <li>Early/timely ORE submission</li> </ul>
15	<ul> <li>Data quality – comprehensiveness/completeness of schedule of payments</li> </ul>
16	<ul> <li>Least discrepancy (c/o IA)</li> </ul>
17	
18	<u>Level 2</u> : Seal of Transparency
19	<ul> <li>Shortlist based on threshold score (e.g., above 80%)</li> </ul>
20	
21	<u>Level 3</u> : Excellence in Reporting
22	<ul> <li>Ranking of shortlist; factoring of "best practices"</li> </ul>
23	
24	
25	TRANSPARENCY CHAMPION MECHANICS AND CRITERIA
26	<ul> <li>An individual or organization can be a candidate for this award.</li> </ul>
27	<ul><li>Criteria:</li><li>1. Participation:</li></ul>
	Active role in the implementation of EITI in the Philippines
	<ol> <li>Leadership:         <ul> <li>Has pioneered or led a program, project, or activity that has significantly</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
	and concretely contributed to improving transparency and accountability
	in the extractives and natural resource governance in the country  3. Inclusiveness/Pluralism:
	Has adopted a multi-stakeholder or inclusive approach, ensuring the
	broadest participation or involvement of relevant sectors
28	
29	The Connectories required of the foodbook/comments and averagestions from the NACC are
30	The Secretariat requested for feedback/comments and suggestions from the MSG, and
31 32	the following were given:
	• A CSO representative said that transparency is part of the reporting the said test
33 34	A CSO representative said that transparency is part of the reporting; the acid test is utilization of the data. She said that a champion should demonstrate how
	is utilization of the data. She said that a champion should demonstrate how
35	he/she/they are using the data for the communities. She suggested that this be

**SOT MECHANICS AND CRITERIA** 

- added to the criteria for Transparency Champion. The secretariat noted this.
  - An industry representative asked if, in the judging process, the nominees would know at some point that they were nominated and are being evaluated? Can they be asked to submit documentation to support their best practices? Can they be asked for information on any innovations they might have that would merit excellence in reporting?
  - A CSO representative inquired if there are incentives/prizes given to the winners.
     The secretariat said that the awards are more symbolic and for recognition, honor, and prestige.
  - A CSO representative said that there should be a clear incentive to motivate the companies to comply.
  - The secretariat explained that even for government agencies, winning/losing is a big deal, citing DOE and Shell reactions to not receiving the award in the past.
  - The Chair commented on informing shortlisted nominees. She said that it would be better to surprise them, eliminating any bias in the process.
  - A government representative commented that the title "Rising Star" be changed to something extractives-related like "Rising Gem".
  - A government representative suggested that the awardees for Seal of Transparency can receive a seal that can be put on their websites. When the seal is clicked, the reason they received the seal will appear. This is similar to BIR's Seal of Honesty.
  - A CSO representative said that there may be a possible tie up with the DILG's Seal of Good Local Governance (SGLG). The secretariat responded that, according to the DILG, PH-EITI compliance or transparency is part of the SGLG criteria.
  - A CSO representative said that efforts to implement EITI at the local/subnational level should be included in the criteria, since this was often mentioned during the roadshows.
  - An industry representative commented that incentivizing the mining companies is easy. They like winning awards and they prepare for them seriously. He also mentioned that it has been agreed that compliance with PH-EITI will be made part of the criteria for the upcoming Presidential Mineral Industry Environmental Award.

#### 10. Others Matters

• EITI partial assumption of the PPEI program

The secretariat gave a brief background of the matter, relating that DILG's PPEI (Philippine Poverty-Environment Initiative) program, in which PH-EITI had been involved, has ended last year. Hence, PH-EITI has been requested to adopt/continue some of PPEI's mechanisms. The response to the request was to bring up the matter in an MSG

1	meeting and seek MSG approval.
2	
3	A DILG representative enumerated PPEI's advocacy/programs:
4	1. Enhanced guidelines on national wealth
5	2. Enhanced guidelines on SDMP
6	3. Improved (LGU) access to funds
7	4. Green investment study
8	5. Green investment guidelines.
9	
10	An ULAP representative said that the MSG might not be well-versed in the items
11	enumerated. She requested for the DILG representative to send the MSG members
12	documents relevant to the PPEI programs mentioned.
13	
14	The Chair inquired as to the legal basis for PH-EITI assumption of PPEI. Is there any MOA
15	for the transfer? What will the transfer look like?
16	
17	The DILG representative said that she will ask her principal for the next steps and
18	requested that this matter be again included in the agenda of the next MSG meeting.
19	
20	Updates on pending bills
21	
22	The secretariat reported that EITI bills are still pending in both houses of Congress (2 in
23	the Senate and 1 in the House of Representatives). The House Bill has been substituted
24	and is now with the Committee on Appropriations.
25	
26	The secretariat said that they conducted a signature campaign in support of EITI
27	institutionalization (by means of legislation) during the roadshow. They also said that
28	TOR for an Advocacy Specialist (who would monitor and shepherd the EITI bills) has
29	been drafted, should the MSG deem it necessary to engage one.
30	
31	The Chair recalled that it has been mentioned in previous MSG meetings that a person
32	who knows how to navigate Congress and work behind the scene is needed. She asked if
33	there are names shortlisted for the position already. The secretariat mentioned Mr.
34	Bong Arreza. A CSO representative said that he can provide Mr. Arreza a background on
35	EITI, since he knows him.
36	
37	A CSO representative suggested submitting names and resumés to the secretariat and
38	shortlisting candidates in one MSG meeting.
39	0 ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
40	The Chair acknowledged the suggested actions to move the bill/s forward.
41	5 30

• Impact survey update

The secretariat briefly discussed the impact survey being undertaken together with the UP School of Statistics, and said that initial findings will be presented during the 54<sup>th</sup> MSG meeting.

• Request for Annual Progress Report Ratification

The secretariat proceeded with a request for MSG ratification of the published Annual Progress Report (APR) for the period 1 July 2017 – 30 June 2018. Background on the APR as an EITI requirement (under Requirements 7.4 and 8.4) was given, followed by an explanation for the delay in the publication of the PH-EITI APR for the mentioned period. The deadline for publication is July 1, and the secretariat was able to publish and submit only on August 10 largely due to voluminous work and simultaneous activities up to the end of June 2018. The complete text of the APR (no layout and design yet) was submitted to the International Secretariat on August 5, however. The secretariat expressed their apologies and requested for understanding and ratification.

The Chair acknowledged the explanation and thanked the secretariat for their efforts.

The following comments/suggestions were given by MSG members:

• A CSO representative said that she is interested to read about the local engagements, especially the local issues and concerns that emerged, not just a narration of the activities.

• The secretariat explained that EITI requires only a summary of the activities and that there is separate documentation for each of the activities. Still, the Secretariat took note of the suggestion and undertook to incorporate the same in the next APR.

 Another CSO representative suggested that MSG members can submit articles highlighting specific activities.

  An industry representative said that the APR should be a tripartite effort and that MSG members can submit reports of activities and results.

 A CSO representative raised a clarification on the CSO alternate focal persons.
 Another CSO representative clarified that for CSOs in the Visayas, formal communication will be transmitted to identify the alternate and regular focal persons.

The Chair noted all the comments and said that PH-EITI will endeavor to put more meat in the way the APR is done in order to better show impact and progress.

The Chair requested for MSG members to ratify the APR.

2
 3

A CSO representative said that it was a good report and commended the secretariat for it. He moved to ratify the report, and the other MSG members seconded the motion. The PH-EITI APR for July 2017 – June 2018 was ratified and approved.

Proposed roundtable discussion on responsible mining

A CSO representative proceeded to discuss the request for PH-EITI to co-organize or participate in a roundtable discussion (RTD) on responsible mining. The proposed activity arose from the observation that there is no shared understanding of "responsible mining" amongst government, industry, and civil society. The matter had been discussed by Bantay Kita with the UP School of Economics Program on Environment, Natural Resources, and Development (PENRED) and the Ateneo Political Science Department. The RTD aims to provide a safe space to discuss the concept of responsible mining among multi-sectoral stakeholders; identify points of convergence and conflict surrounding the concept, best practices, and parameters to take commitment holders to task; and contribute to a working definition of responsible mining as a foundation for policy development. The idea of the RTD had also been informally communicated to the secretariat.

The same CSO representative proposed that Bantay Kita and industry and government representatives have an initial meeting to outline objectives, discuss mechanics, raise questions. The proposed schedule for the TWG/pre-workshop/brainstorming is on September 12, 9am to 12nn.

A government representative from MGB said that he will discuss the matter with the MGB Director, since MGB has a TWG for responsible mining. It was also mentioned that the MGB ManCom, in one meeting, discussed having one IEC (program) for the whole Philippines.

The Chair said that DOF can host the preliminary meeting. She recognized that there is a need to come up with a clear understanding of responsible mining.

The Chair proceeded to set the schedule for the next MSG meeting.

 The Secretariat said that, as previously agreed upon by the MSG, the next meeting should be on October 5. It was also mentioned that there may be another offsite meeting in November in Cebu, in view of the possibility of having a site visit to the Alegria onshore oil field.

Without any other matters to be discussed, the 53<sup>rd</sup> MSG meeting was adjourned at 5:56pm.