"Working towards more transparency and accountability in extractive industries."



4/F DOF Building, Roxas Blvd. Corner Pablo Ocampo St., Manila 1004, Philippines Tel. no.: 525-0487 Email: info@ph-eiti.com

1		TI 29 th MSG MEETING
2		2:00 PM January 15, 2016
3	-	om, Department of Finance,
4	Roxa	s Boulevard, Manila
5		
6	Attendess	
7 8	Attendees:	
8 9	Asst. Sec. Ma. Teresa S. Habitan	Department of Finance (DOF)
10	Usec. Jeremias Paul	DOF
11	Elsa P. Agustin	DOF
12	Febe J. Lim	DOF
13	Engr. Romualdo Aguilos	Mines and Geosciences Bureau—Department of
14		Environment and Natural Resources (MGB-DENR)
15	Analynsia C. Alarde	Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR)
16	Jean Centeno	Department of the Interior and Local Government
17		(DILG)
18	Dr. CieloMagno	Bantay Kita
19	Gina Tumlos	Bantay Kita
20	Ronald Allan A. Barnacha	Philippine Rural Reconstruction Movement (PRRM)/
21		North Luzon
22	Prof. Maria Aurora Teresita W. Tabada	Visayas State University
23	Dr. Merian C. Mani	Romblon Ecumenical Forum Against Mining (REFAM)/
24		Romblon State University
25	Chadwick Llanos	Cebu Alliance for Safe and Sustainable Development
26		(CASSE)
27	Gerard H. Brimo	Nickel Asia Corporation / Chamber of Mines of the
28		Philippines (COMP)
29	Nelia C. Halcon	COMP
30	Ronald S. Recidoro	COMP
31	Benjamin S. Austria	Petroleum Association of the Philippines (PAP)
32	Atty. Gay Alessandra V. Ordenes	Secretariat
33	Abigail D. Ocate	Secretariat
34	Mary Ann Rodolfo	Secretariat
35	Joy Saquing	Secretariat
36	Marikit Soliman	Secretariat
37	Ryan Dael	Secretariat
38	Denise Domingo	Secretariat
39	John Martin Arreola	Secretariat
40	Rhea Bagacay	Secretariat

1	RESOURCE PERSONS:	
2 3	Jan Marlowe O. Castillo	Isla Linana & Ca
5 4	Eureka M. Yamakura	Isla Lipana& Co. Isla Lipana& Co.
4 5	Katherine J. Atentar	Isla Lipana& Co.
6	Katherine J. Atentai	
7		
8	AGENDA:	
9	 Minutes 28th and Special MSG i 	meetings
10	Matters arising from previous I	MSG meetings
11	• Evaluation of implementation	
12	Selection of National Coordinat	tor
13	• Addendum to the report	
14	Global Conference	
15	Board election	
16	National conference	
17	Other matters	
18		
19		
20	1. Call to Order	
21		
22	1.1. The Philippine Extractive Industries	s Transparency Initiative (PH-EITI) Multi-Stakeholder Group (MSG)
23	meeting was called to order at 9:05 Alv	1.
24		
25	1.2. The proposed agenda was present	ed and subsequently approved by the body.
26		
27	2. Minutes of the 28 th and Special MS	G meetings
28		
29	2.1. The Secretariat noted that the mir	nutes of the two meetings were circulated to the members of the
30	MSG and no comments were received.	
31		
32		s another week to comment on the minutes and noted that the
33	minutes will be deemed approved afte	r a week.
34		
35	3. Matters Arising	
36		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
37		of a revenue-linked database: The secretariat mentioned that all
38	, ,	nplate both for the first and second report will be uploaded in the
39	website.	

3.2. Offer of Timor Leste to conduct a training for the PH-EITI MSG on the Petroleum Fund process: The 1 2 Secretariat mentioned that the manager of the Petroleum Fund of Timor Leste is willing to give a 3 training or conduct a forum on their natural resource wealth fund. Details will be further discussed. The 4 Secretariat conveyed that the resource person from Timor Leste preferred that the training be held in 5 their country. 6 7 3.3. Secretariat's institutionalization: The Secretariat stated that this matter is still pending and that they 8 are discussing this with Director Tan of the Department of Finance (DOF) 9 10 3.4. Draft EITI bill: The Secretariat mentioned that this item will be part of the work plan for 2016. As 11 previously agreed by the MSG, consultants will be hired to help in crafting the bill. 12 13 3.5. Selection process for MSG members: The Secretariat reported that the Chamber of Mines of the 14 Philippines (COMP) already submitted their guidelines for selecting MSG representatives, while inputs 15 from the government are still pending. 16 17 3.6. According to the Chair, it was previously decided that the government representatives will stay until 18 the change of administration. 19 20 3.7. The Secretariat noted and clarified that there still has to be a written guideline for selection. 21 22 3.8. BOI and BIR incentives: The Secretariat mentioned that the information was already requested from 23 the Committee on Ways and Means, however this needs to be followed up. 24 25 3.9. Proposed amendment to the Local Government Code (LGC): It was recalled that the body agreed to 26 hire a consultant for this matter, as advised by Director Bonagua of the Department of the Interior and 27 Local Government (DILG). It was mentioned that this item can be part of the work plan as well. 28 29 3.10. MGB regional directors should be convened: The Secretariat mentioned that there were previous 30 plans to convene the MGB regional directors. The representative from the Mines and Geosciences 31 Bureau (MGB) was requested to advise on the definite schedule of the said event. 32 33 The MGB representative expressed that they did not have a chance to meet with the regional offices 34 yet. 35 36 3.11. Non-metallic mining associations: It was shared that the MSG agreed to convene associations for 37 non-metallic mining with the assistance from MGB. 38 39 The Secretariat noted that this will be included in the work plan for implementation in 2016.

1	4. Evaluation of implementation
2	
3	4.1. The Secretariat shared that they listed the activities in the 2015 work plan including the outcomes
4	agreed upon by the MSG in a matrix format which will be used to evaluate EITI implementation in the
5	last year (the presentation material is attached as Annex A).
6	
7 8	4.2. It was mentioned that the status of each activity, whether it was achieved or the item is still pending, was also included in the matrix.
9	
10	4.3. According to the Secretariat, only four items were not achieved mostly because of the delay of
11	funding from USAID. It was also noted that some of the activities in the 2015 work plan are still on-
12	going.
13	
14	4.4. The Secretariat explained that they want to get the inputs of the MSG members specifically on what
15	activities should still be included in the 2016 work plan. In addition, the MSG can also discuss the need
16	to formulate a new set of objectives.
17	
18	• 1 ST OBJECTIVE: Show direct and indirect contribution of extractives to the economy (through
19	EITI process)
20	
21	Hiring of independent administrator to analyze the government and industry data for the second EITI
22	report
23	
24	4.5. An industry representative suggested to remove the contextual information from the Terms of
25	Reference (TOR) of the next Independent Administrator (IA). The same representative proposed that a
26	separate consultant be hired to draft the contextual information of the report.
27	
28	The members of the MSG agreed.
29	
30	MSG to agree on scope, level of disaggregation and materiality of reporting for the second report
31	
32	4.6. In terms of the scope of the report, a CSO representative suggested to add non-metallic mining
33	operations.
34	
35	4.7. According to the Chair, learning from the experiences in engaging Semirara Mining and Power
36	Corporation, the MSG needs to conduct pre-work before deciding whether or not non-metallic
37	companies should be included in the next report.
38	
39	4.8. The Chair noted that the information on non-metallic operations can be requested from
40 41	government agencies but since there is a reconciliation process, there has to be sufficient support from the non-metallic industry.

4.9. A CSO representative suggested that the MSG and the Secretariat start engaging non-metallic
 companies by inviting them in upcoming EITI activities.

3

4 4.10. In addition, another CSO representative recommended that the MGB further disaggregate the data
5 in their website. It was noted that currently, some of the MGB statistics do not match the data in the
6 EITI report.

7

4.11. The same representative mentioned that metallic and non-metallic data should be disaggregatedin the MSG website.

10

4.12. The representative of MGB explained that the statistics are coming from regional offices. Miningcompanies are required to submit quarterly reports to MGB regional offices.

13

4.13. An industry representative stated that one problem would be the late reporting of MGB regionaloffices.

16

4.14. The CSO representative suggested that the inclusion of non-metallic sector be done in phases.
According to the same representative, the MSG could initially focus on large-scale non-metallic
companies that are reporting to MGB. The inclusion of local quarry permits will then be discussed after
the MSG figures out how to deal with these at the subnational level.

21

4.15. In connection with the suggestion, the MGB was asked to present the list of non-metallic
companies including the volume of their production. This is to help the MSG in deciding how to
approach reporting of this sector.

25

4.16. According to the Chair, the body needs to identify who these non-metallic mining companies are
and then come up with a strategy on how to engage and get support from these companies. The Chair
noted that the MSG can also opt not to include non-metallic sector in the next report and focus instead
on how to more comprehensively include this in future reports.

3031 4.17. The CSO representative stated that from the list of companies that will be presented by MGB, the

32 MSG can target to include the top ten companies, for example.

33

4.18. Highlighting the importance of covering non-metallic sector in the report, an industry
 representative shared that the increase in production value of non-metallic minerals is actually higher
 compared to that of metallic minerals.

37

4.19. In terms of tax collection, a representative of BIR commented that non-metallic operations such ascement companies have lower tax contribution.

40

4.20. The Chair reiterated the request for MGB to present an overview of the non-metallic sector in thenext MSG meeting.

4.21. Going back to the disaggregation of data, one industry representative commented that since the
objective of the MSG is to show the direct and indirect contribution of extractives to the economy, the
next report should also cover contracted labor which are not normally included in the employment
generated by the mining industry.

5

6 4.22. In addition, the same representative pointed out that all projects and developments that mining 7 companies provided outside of their SDMP should also be included in the report. Examples of these 8 developments include the number of schools established, the number of students provided with 9 scholarships and trainings, the number of hospitals operated and maintained as well as the number of 10 roads and bridges that were established and maintained by the mining companies.

11

4.23. A CSO representative stated that the reporting template developed for the second report was very
comprehensive and downstream contractors were even included in the template. However, not all
additional information in the template were collected by the IA.

15

4.24. The same representative suggested that the MSG strategize on how to collect all additionalinformation in the template since these are for the contextual information of the report.

18

4.25. According to the CSO representative, they always asked the IA during meetings whether the
templates are completed and the IA responded in the affirmative. However, the MSG was informed in
December last year that not all information were provided by the companies.

22

4.26. One suggestion was to separate the collection of information for the reconciliation process and forthe contextual information.

25

4.27. Another CSO representative stated that the team who will collect the information should be
different from those who will interpret the data. The same representative added that there should be
someone who is in charge of following up with the reporting entities.

29

4.28. One member of the MSG recommended that the IA only focus on the financial information while a
separate team will take care of gathering all the non-financial data for the contextual information. There
will still be just one template but two separate implementation.

33

34 Report road shows and trainings on reporting template (LGUs and communities)

35

4.29. In terms of attendance and number of participants, a CSO representative asked whether the MSGmet the objectives that they set for the road shows.

38

4.30. The Secretariat responded that they were able to engage the right people during the road shows
including local chief executives. It was mentioned that CSOs and mining companies were also
represented and that the quality of discussion during road shows was good.

4.31. But moving forward, the Secretariat mentioned that the MSG should look into how they can use 1 2 the EITI process in order to address the concerns raised during the roadshows. According to the 3 Secretariat, the body should also determine how to make use of the information gathered from all the 4 forums that were conducted. 5 6 4.32. Since the issues of different stakeholders have already been surfaced, the Secretariat noted that it 7 is now critical for the MSG to be able to show how the EITI process can help in addressing these issues. 8 9 4.33. A CSO representative then suggested that the body review the outcomes of the road shows in the 10 next MSG meeting. 11

4.34. It was stated that one outcome of the road show was that DBM streamlined the process of
downloading the LGU shares from national wealth. The Chair noted that for example, the MSG can then
ask if the LGUs feel a change and if there is a difference this year in terms of how fast they are receiving
their shares.

16

4.35. An industry representative proposed that the MSG explore the possibility of giving the share
directly to LGUs. The same representative mentioned that the current system of releasing LGU shares is
not working.

20

4.36. A CSO representative shared that Apex mining company issued a letter to the LGU informing them
how much they paid to MGB and BIR so that LGU would know how much to expect from DBM. The LGU
used the letter in following up with DBM.

24

4.37. According to the CSO representative, the letter helped Compostela Valley get their share from
Apex mining company. It was then suggested that the MSG also explore the possibility of replicating this
in other LGUs.

28

4.38. The Chair noted that the MSG needs to invite DBM to give progress on the process of downloadingthe shares of LGUs.

31

4.39. A representative of the industry commented that during the roadshows, the LGUs appreciated the
 progress report that was given by DBM. It was then recommended that the progress in addressing issues
 be reported in succeeding road shows so that the LGU would know what PH-EITI is doing.

35

4.40. Aside from the progress report from DBM, the Chair mentioned that the MSG should also ask theLGUs to report how they are using their shares in national wealth.

38

4.41. An industry representative suggested to have a workshop with local chief executives on how theycan use their funds. The said workshop can be part of the next roadshows.

1	4.42. The body was informed that CSOs are already being trained to monitor the expenditure of the
2	LGUs as well as lobby on how the shares in national wealth should be spent. However, DBM first needs
3	to disaggregate the share that the LGUs are receiving.
4	
5	Drafting and finalization of reporting template based on the level of disaggregation and materiality as
6	agreed upon by the MSG
7	
8	4.43. The Chair stated that the reporting templates have already been discussed and that the members
9	of the MSG agreed that the templates were comprehensive. It was reiterated that the focus now is how
10	to get complete data for the template.
11	
12	4.44. There was a suggestion that every time a company submits their reporting template to the IA, a
13	copy will be provided to the Secretariat so that they can monitor if all the items are being filled up.
14	
15	4.45. The Chair clarified that it is the task of the IA to provide the Secretariat with copies of the
16	submitted templates.
17	
18	4.46. One member of the MSG commented that it will be easier if the companies will be asked to fill up
19 20	and submit the template online.
20	4.47 For the post report, the body agreed to develop an online reporting tool for the companies
21 22	4.47. For the next report, the body agreed to develop an online reporting tool for the companies.
22	Drafting of the second PH-EITI report
23 24	
25	4.48. The Secretariat noted that the original plan last year was to produce a double report containing
26	both 2013 and 2014 data. However, since not all companies submitted their 2014 data, the second
27	report only covered 2013.
28	
29	4.49. To clarify, it was mentioned that the next report will cover year 2014. The Chair then inquired if it
30	is practical to include 2015 data in the 3 rd report.
31	
32	4.50. The IA shared that some of the companies including government agencies have already provided
33	2014 data. Among the government agencies, it was noted that only BIR provided the 2014 data.
34	
35	4.51. A CSO representative asked why the IA was not able to complete the 2014 data, if it is because the
36	data were not available or it is because the IA focused on collecting 2013.
37	
38	4.52. The IA explained that some participating entities had difficulty in gathering 2014 data to complete
39	the reporting template. These companies then focused on completing their 2013 data. For example, the
40	independent accounting firm hired by Galoc Production Company had a change in management making
41	it difficult for them to provide some of the required information in the template so they focused on
42	completing the 2013 data.

4.53. According to one member of the MSG, since the system of the companies have already been set
 up, there should be no problem collecting 2015 data.

3

4 4.54. The Chair suggested that the MSG should aim to produce a double report this year.

5

4.55. To assess whether it is feasible to have the double report, the IA was asked to report how many of
the entities failed to provide 2014 information including the reasons that were cited by the companies.

8

10

9 Printing of the second PH-EITI report

4.56. The Secretariat recalled that the MSG previously agreed to further edit the second report.
However, it was shared that the International Secretariat suggested that the MSG just produce a good
summary report instead of publishing a revised full report.

14

4.57. The body was informed that producing an edited report will require another MSG approval. In
addition, all the changes that were made would have to be footnoted. The Secretariat noted that this
could be very time consuming and considering that the report should be printed in time for the National
Conference in February, the timeline would be very tight.

19

4.58. The Chair stated that at the least, the MSG should still make sure that the report has nogrammatical errors.

22

4.59. The body agreed that the report will still be edited for grammatical errors. The uploaded reportwill be replaced by the edited version.

25

26 **Report analysis workshop**

27

4.60. The Secretariat noted that a report analysis workshop for the second report needs to be scheduledthis year.

30

31 Communications trainings and dissemination of the report

33 4.61. An industry representative commented that the MSG should have a pool of speakers.

34

32

4.62. With regard to engagement of media, a CSO representative commented that current press
releases are very basic and focus only on some specific statistics in the report. Therefore, it was
recommended that the Secretariat conduct media trainings.

38

4.63. According to the Secretariat, the MSG would need to hire a consultant who will conduct the
training. However, the challenge is finding a consultant who has an understanding of the technical
aspect of the report and at the same time has the ability to simplify the message.

1	4.64. The Chair stated that members of the MSG should attend a communications training first before
2	training the media. According to the Chair, it is important for the members themselves to learn how to
3	communicate the report as well as how to deal with the media and to different types of audiences.
4	
5	The body agreed.
6	
7	International outreach and trainings
8	
9	4.65. It was shared that some of the activities under this item were the following:
10	- Data visualization workshop
11	 Regional MSG meeting – attended by participants from Asia Pacific countries
12	 Participation of the Secretariat in the Extractives Data Workshop in Mexico
13	- Outreach activities in Myanmar
14	
15	4.66. The members of the MSG were reminded that they can always inform the Secretariat if they see
16	trainings that are of particular interest to them and related to EITI. The Secretariat mentioned that the
17	MSG will discuss whether the training can be funded or not.
18	
19	4.67. A representative of the industry mentioned that the body would also benefit from the experiences
20	of MSGs in other EITI participating countries. For instance, the MSG would learn from the experience of
21	Mongolia in developing their system for online reporting.
22	
23	4.68. The same representative then suggested that the MSG explore the possibility of collaborating with
24	Mongolia EITI to replicate their online reporting system.
25	
26	4.69. In relation to this, the Secretariat shared that the US EITI offered to collaborate with the MSG in
27	terms of reporting IP royalties.
28	
29	Forums on fiscal regimes, management of EI revenues at the local and national level
30	
31	4.70. The Chair clarified that this is related to the earlier discussion on revenue management and
32	presenting progress reports on policy initiatives of government.
33	
34	4.71. A CSO representative stated that since the Union of Local Authorities of the Philippines (ULAP)
35	have not been attending MSG meetings, the Secretariat should communicate to them that the term of
36	the MSG members will be ending and that they should have a process of selecting their new
37	representative in the MSG.
38	Addressing gons in the first report
39 40	Addressing gaps in the first report
40 41	4.72. The Secretariat recalled that the IA was tasked to address the gaps in the first report and submit
4T	4.72. The Secretariat recalled that the IA was tasked to address the gaps in the first report and submit

42 their output specifically on the following topics:

1 2	 Comprehensive assessment of auditing procedures of government and industry and evaluation of data quality
3	- Tracking of distribution of LGU shares and reconciliation of LGU collections for inclusion in the
4	EITI report
5	- Full study on beneficial ownership
6	
7	Improvement of monitoring procedures of government agencies
8	
9	4.73. For the information of the body, it was noted that the targeted government agencies under this
10	activity were NCIP and MGB.
11	
12	4.74. The Secretariat shared that they suggested a monitoring tool to NCIP which was approved during
13	the forum with the NCIP regional directors and the Commission en banc.
14	
15	4.75. According to the Secretariat, the NCIP already committed to use the monitoring tool in monitoring
16	royalty payments and compliance of companies with the MOAs.
17	
18	4.76. As for the monitoring tool of MGB, the Secretariat explained that this was supposed to be funded
19	by USAID. But because of the delay in funds, the Secretariat was not able to discuss the details of the
20	monitoring tool with MGB.
21	
22	4.77. The MGB representative shared that they have submitted their plan for 2016 which includes the
23	standardization of monitoring reports from companies.
24	
25	4.78. A CSO representative shared that Director Jasareno of MGB made a commitment to make the
26	selection process of the representatives in the monitoring teams more transparent. The same
27	representative asked if this is included in MGB's plan.
28	
29	4.79. The MGB representative responded that the current plan is only on the standardization of
30	monitoring reports.
31	
32	4.80. The CSO representative stressed that even if MGB revise their monitoring process, it will still be
33	ineffective if the people who are part of the monitoring teams do not know what to do.
34	
35	Engagement of COA regarding audit procedures
36	
37	4.81. Based on the second report, the Secretariat explained that data relating specifically to extractives
38	industries are not being audited by the Commission on Audit (COA). It was mentioned that in auditing
39	government agencies, COA only audits the operational expenses and the procedures followed.
40	
41	4.82. According to one of the MSG members, auditing the data that the government agencies submit to
42	the IA is not part of COA's mandate since they only audit the expenditure side and not revenues.

1 Dialogues with companies to ensure full participation in EITI 2 3 4.83. The Chair asked if there was an increase in terms of the number of companies that participated in 4 the second report compared to the 2013 report. 5 6 4.84. The Secretariat shared that there was a higher percentage of participation in the second report 7 since only 3 mining companies and 1 coal company did not submit their template. But the Secretariat 8 noted that this may be because the focus was only on the 28 material companies. 9 10 4.85. Looking at the overall picture and including non-material companies that also participated in the 11 second report, it was noted that the number of participating companies in the first and second report is 12 the same. 13 14 **Pre-validation workshop** 15 16 4.86. To prepare for validation, the Secretariat suggested that another workshop be conducted among 17 MSG members. 18 19 4.87. According to the Secretariat, the workshop will be conducted to give the MSG an overview of what 20 the validation process would entail. During the workshop, MSG members will also be informed 21 regarding the questions that may be asked by the Validator. 22 23 4.88. Since a representative from the International Secretariat will attend the National Conference, it 24 was proposed that the workshop be conducted a day before the said event. 25 26 The body agreed. 27 28 Validation meetings and other related expenses 29 30 4.89. The Secretariat stated that this item in the work plan was not implemented since the MSG did not 31 push through with early validation. 32 33 4.90. One member of the MSG commented that from all the activities that were discussed under the 34 first objective, it is not clear that direct and indirect contributions of the extractives to the economy. The 35 same representative stated that the MSG should include outcomes that are more related to the 36 objectives. 37 38 4.91. The industry representative mentioned that countries who benefit from the EITI process are those 39 that have large discrepancies. 40 41 4.92. According to the same representative, one outcome that would improve the contributions of the 42 extractive companies to the industry would be the increase in investments because of transparency in

1 2	the industry. However, the MSG has no way of measuring the differences in investments before and after the implementation of EITI.
3	
4 5	4.93. The industry representative reiterated that the MSG has to come up with outcomes that are related to achieving the objectives.
6	
7	4.94. The Chair stated that after a review of the activities in the work plan, the MSG should also review
8 9	the five objectives of EITI implementation to see whether there is a need to improve or change some of the objectives.
10	
11	• 2 nd OBJECTIVE: Improve public understanding of the management of natural resources and
12	availability of data
13	
14	Regular press releases and articles on PH-EITI activities
15	
16	4.95. The government agencies were advised to come up with articles, blogs and press releases on the
17	significant findings of the report. It was noted that CSO representatives have been doing this for the
18	previous reports.
19	
20	4.96. In relation to this, the CSO representative proposed that a training be conducted for the media and
21	communications officers in different government agencies together with the future communications
22	officer of the Secretariat.
23	
24	4.97. A representative of MGB shared that they published an article in their website regarding the
25	publication of the second report.
26	
27	4.98. With regard to the objective of improving public understanding, one government representative
28	inquired whether there was a feedback mechanism for the public to be able to say that the MSG actually
29	achieved the said objective.
30	
31	4.99. A CSO representative shared that they have been consistent in downloading EITI information to
32 33	the ground.
33 34	4.100. The Chair stated that the MSG needs to measure the awareness of the public on EITI. However, it
34 35	was noted that the MSG has no baseline data on this.
36	
37	4.101. A government representative inquired how the MSG defines "public". The Chair responded that
38	all the stakeholders are target audiences.
39	
40	4.102. The Chair reiterated that the MSG needs to come up with an indicator for measuring how

41 awareness on EITI has improved.

1 Development of a communications plan and MSG/Secretariat workshop 2 3 4.103. According to the Secretariat, this item was not achieved primarily because they are having 4 difficulty in finding a good communications officer. In addition, more expertise was needed in designing 5 the communications workshop. 6 7 Hiring of consultants for EI related studies 8 9 4.104. An industry representative suggested to hire a consultant on sustainable use and revenue 10 management to improve the capacity of LGUs and inform them how to plan for the usage of their revenue shares and SDMP funds. 11 12 13 4.105. A representative of DILG shared that one of the studies of PPEI was on evaluating the 14 effectiveness of SDMP and measuring its socio-economic impact. The same representative shared that 15 in another PPEI study wherein their consultants tried to determine how the host LGUs are using their 16 share from national wealth, it was found out that some interventions of the LGUs were inappropriate. 17 18 4.106. The DILG representative stated that they can collaborate with the MSG on these studies. 19 20 4.107. According to the CSO representative, the MGS needs to first agree to move forward from just 21 reconciling the payments and receipts to actively advocating revenue management and sustainable 22 development at the local level. 23 24 4.108. The CSO representative then suggested that capacity building activities related to revenue 25 management be conducted as a parallel activity during roadshows. 26 27 4.109. An industry representative commented that the problem with SDMP is that there is no 28 consistency in determining how funds should be spent. The LGUs have different processes of developing 29 their SDMP. 30 31 4.110. The MGB representative mentioned that they will include the monitoring of SDMP 32 implementation in the MMT. 33 34 4.111. In relation to the issue raised on SDMP, a CSO representative suggested that the DILG and MGB 35 collaborate and come up with a joint administrative order standardizing the process of developing 36 SDMP. 37 38 4.112. The DILG representative responded that this suggestion was already included as part of the TOR 39 of their consultant and will be one of the outputs of the Philippine Poverty Environment Initiative (PPEI). 40 41 4.113. A CSO representative requested that the DILG present the findings of their studies and 42 recommendation on the process of developing SDMP. If ever the MSG would agree to the

1 2	recommendations of DILG, it was suggested that the body make a formal request to MGB to adopt the findings of the study.
3	
4	4.114. The representative of DILG clarified that they are just in the initial phase of the study and the
5	consultants have not yet started with their fieldwork.
6	
7	4.115. For the information of the body, it was shared that MGB will be part of the study.
8	
9	Publication of reference materials and primer
10	
11	4.116. There was a comment to increase the number of printed and translated copies of the report.
12	Maintain EITI website
13 14	
15	4.117. One member of the MSG suggested to add more data and document in the website.
16	4.117. One member of the Mod suggested to add more data and document in the website.
17	EITI Open Data Dashboard
18	
19	4.118. The Secretariat share that the scanned supporting documents were already uploaded in the
20	contracts portal. However, due to the delay in USAID funding, maps were not yet developed.
21	
22	• 3 RD OBJECTIVE: Strengthen national resource management / strengthen government systems
23	
24	Formulation of new policies/recommendations by the MSG to improve government systems based on
25	the findings of the first report
26	
27	4.119. The Chair noted that this item was achieved and that the MSG recommendations were provided
28	to the Mining Industry Coordinating Council (MICC).
29	
30	4.120. The Secretariat stated that the actions taken by the government industries were included in the
31	report.
32	
33	4.121. Among the government agencies, it was mentioned that only BOI and PEZA did not submit an
34	action plan based on the recommendation of the MSG.
35	
36	4.122. A government representative suggested that the MSG take advantage of the Tax Incentives
37 20	Management and Transparency Act (TIMT) which can be the legal basis for requiring government
38 39	agencies to report. According to the same representative, information that the MSG wants to be disclosed can already be included in the IRR of TIMTA.
39 40	disclosed can alleady be included in the INN OF FIGURA.
40 41	• 4 TH OBJECTIVE: Create opportunities for dialogue and constructive engagement in natural
42	resource management in order to build trust and reduce conflict among stakeholders

4.123. The body agreed that the conduct of both regular MSG meetings and forums/dialogues with
 stakeholder were achieved and should be retained in the next work plan.

3 4

5

9

• 5TH OBJECTIVE: Strengthen business environment and increase investments

4.124. The Chair stated that the increase in investments have already been discussed. It was reiterated
that there is a need for the MSG to come up with an indicator measuring the change in investments
before and after EITI implementation.

4.125. On a different note, one MSG member proposed that the MSG include one small-scale mining sitein the next report. The same representative suggested T'boli.

- 12 13 It was suggested that the MSG only focus on the fees of one LGU. The body agreed. 14 15 4.126. Other activities that the body suggested to retain the work plan are the following: Production of summary report, popular version and translated version 16 17 Conduct reporting template workshop for all sectors per company, per industry, per LGU _ Completion and submission of reporting template 18 _ 19 **Reconciliation process** _ 20 Workshop / approval of the second EITI report _ 21 Participate in existing transparency initiatives in the country and region -22 Outreach activities and capacity building of local stakeholders (government, regional offices, 23 communities and industry) on EITI implementation 24 Media briefing on EITI implementation -25 Implementation of communications strategies _ 26 Dialogues (Provincial and NCR) on El issues, Mining 101 -27 Partners forum with development partners -28 Development of monitoring tools and systems for IP royalties, mandated funds and CSR projects -29 Engage the Legislative and the Executive branches of government; Draft EITI law and propose 30 legislation/ amendment for full EITI implementation 31 Pilot subnational implementation in selected LGUs and capacity building of LGUs; strengthening 32 of local monitoring teams Operationalization of policy recommendations 33 34 Forums with industry members -35 Engagement of other mining affiliated organizations -36 Institutionalization of EITI through law -37 38 • **Administrative Operations** 39 40 4.127. The Secretariat mentioned that the financial report is included in the kits. It was reported that
- 41 the majority of the funds was spent on consultancies such as the translation of the summary report,

- 1 maintenance of the website, consultants for the scoping studies, documenters and facilitators hired for 2 the forums and consultant hired to develop the manual for the LGU online reporting. 3 4 4.128. However, it was noted that the bulk of the budget for consultancies was spent from hiring the IA 5 which amounted to Php 7.4 million. 6 7 4.129. According to the Secretariat, 36% of the budget was spent on trainings and outreach activities 8 while 15% was for the salary of the staff. 9 10 4.130. It was also mentioned that the production of communication materials accounted for 7% of the 11 spending and 3% was used for the Secretariat expenses. 12 13 4.131. The Secretariat explained that the darker shade of the pie chart indicates that the fund came 14 from the Multi-Donor Trust Fund (MDTF) and the lighter shade was from the Government of the 15 Philippines (GOP). 16 17 4.132. A CSO representative inquired if there is already a projected budget for the year 2016 including 18 the breakdown fund sources. 19 20 4.133. The Secretariat responded that there is a budget drafted for the Extractive Global Programmatic 21 Support (EGPS), but the work plan for 2016 still has to be developed by the MSG. 22 23 4.134. A CSO representative inquired whether the World Bank will be funding the same activities that 24 were funded last year. 25 26 4.135. The Secretariat responded in the affirmative. However, it was noted that the government has a 27 higher contribution this year. 28 29 5. Selection of National Coordinator 30 31 5.1. The Chair mentioned that the current National Coordinator of PH-EITI will be working for the 32 International Secretariat starting March 2016. 33 34 5.2. The Chair announced that one candidate for the position is Atty. Karla Espinosa, who is the current 35 Chief of Staff of Undersecretary Paul of DOF. 36 37 5.3. Undersecretary Paul shared that he was approached by the Chair because the MSG was looking for 38 a replacement for the current National Coordinator. 39 40 5.4. Usec. Paul then gave a background on the candidate stating that she is an economist and a lawyer. 41 It was also mentioned that Atty. Espinosa obtained her Master's Degree from New Zealand.
 - 17

1	5.5. The body was informed that the major responsibility of Atty. Espinosa in her current position was to
2	go through the important documents that will be signed by Usec. Paul to ensure that these documents
3	are legally, morally, and technically defensible. He furthered that the candidate also writes very well,
4	especially in drafting of legislations.
5	
6	According to Usec. Paul, Atty. Espinosa is good in simplifying things that are complicated and that she is
7	a strong candidate for the position.
8	
9	5.6. The Chair suggested to invite Atty. Espinosa to the next MSG meeting. The Curriculum Vitae (CV) of
10	the candidate was circulated to the members of the MSG.
11	
12	6. Addendum to the report
13	
14	6.1. The Secretariat shared that the report has been evaluated by the International Secretariat and that
15	they will be sending their formal comments by next week.
16	
17	6.2. It was shared that no major gaps were found, but there are comments on few things that are not
18	critical to validation.
19 20	
20	6.3. The following comments of the International secretariat were shared to the body:
21	1. The report contains disaggregated information on the expert volume of ail and gas but there
22 23	1. The report contains disaggregated information on the export volume of oil and gas but there was no disaggregated data on export value. The Secretariat mentioned that the IA will obtain
25 24	disaggregated data from DOE.
25	
26	2. In the table wherein revenues of PMDC and PNOC were reported, the IA needs to indicate
27	that the data is from 2013.
28	
29	3. On the license register, the International Secretariat asked that the dates of permit
30	application of the companies be included. According to the Secretariat, they expressed that
31	completing this information might be difficult because some of the applications were made
32	decades ago. However, it was mentioned that the dates can be obtained from the companies.
33	
34	The Secretariat noted that they will also confirm with MGB if the said information is available in
35	their office. The same applies with DOE for oil and gas as well as the coal industry.
36	
37	4. There needs to be an additional narrative on the license allocation for coal. The Secretariat
38	mentioned that there is a table with this information but there is no narrative.
39	
40	5. There is a lack of information on the Philippine Energy Contracting Round (PECR-5). It was
41	explained to the International Secretariat that all information is in the website of DOE and the
42	link was provided.

6.4. The Secretariat then clarified that if the MSG agrees to have an addendum, the information that
were discussed will all be included. It was noted that the report is still being reviewed by one of the
members of the International secretariat and there is a possibility that they will send additional
comments.

5

6 7. Global conference

7

7.1. The Secretariat gave updates on the global conference scheduled on February 24 and 25. It was
mentioned that participants from the government are Secretary Purisima, who will be one of the
speakers in the plenary session, Assistant Secretary Teresa Habitan, Undersecretary Jeremias Paul, and
Engr. Romualdo Aguilos of MGB. Representatives for the Secretariat will be Atty. Marie Gay Alessandra
Ordenes and Mary Anne Rodolfo.

13

7.2. It was shared that the industry sector will be represented by Atty. Ron and Ben Austria while the
CSO will be represented by Cielo Magno, Professor Jay Batongbacal, Prof. Teresita Tabada, Allan
Barnacha, Starjoan Villanueva, and Filomeno Sta. Ana.

17

7.3. The Secretariat also shared that there will be a side event on data visualization and representatives
from the Secretariat and CSO were requested to attend. It was noted that the funding for the said event
will come from another development partner.

21

7.4. With regard to the funding, the Secretariat mentioned that the International Secretariat will fund
four representatives. The DOF will fund the participation of Secretary Purisima and Asst. Sec. Habitan.
On the other hand, Undersecretary Paul as well as two representatives from the CSO are waiting for
funding from the World Bank. The Secretariat shared that two industry representatives will also be
funded.

27

7.5. The Secretariat shared that according to World Bank, they will be able to transfer the grant by theend of January 2016.

- 30
- Impact story
- 31 32

7.6. The Secretariat stated that the consultant already sent a draft of the impact story and it will becirculated to the MSG as soon as it goes through one round of fact checking by the Secretariat.

35

7.7. According to the Secretariat, a Chair's award will be given during the Global Conference. It wasexplained that this award will be given to EITI implementing countries.

38

39 7.8. For this award, the International Secretariat will be looking at countries that has had improvements 40 in policies and practices related to extractive industry sector governance. Another criteria is that the 41 country has to show progress in the past three years, and has done the most efforts to ensure that the 42 EITI reporting responds to national priorities and challenges.

1	7.9. It was mentioned that the award will also be judged based on the exemplary programs on making
2	data available and accessible.
3	
4	7.10. The Secretariat shared that a country can nominate itself for this award.
5	
6	Booth in the global expo
7	
8	7.11. It was shared that the Secretariat hired consultants to work on the concept and implementation of
9	the PH-EITI booth.
10	
11	7.12. According to the Secretariat, the two main highlights of the booth would be on the efforts of the
12	MSG in engaging the LGUs and Indigenous People (IPs).
13	
14	7.13. As for the design of the booth, the Secretariat described that a Philippine map will be at the center
15	of the booth and that there will be windows on the map which can be opened. Pictures and information
16	will be seen inside the window. In addition, the contracts portal and the online reporting tool of Bureau
17	of Local Government Finance (BLGF) will be presented.
18	
19	7.14. The Secretariat shared that a more concrete design will be presented next MSG meeting.
20	
21	8. Board election
22	
23	8.1. The Secretariat shared that the profiles of the other candidates from Mongolia and Timor Leste are
24	in the kits.
25	
26	8.2. The Secretariat explained that the process of nomination is that the MSG of each country, through
27	the National Coordinator will rank the candidates.
28	
29	8.3. In relation to this, it was mentioned that the candidates will get points that coincide with their
30	ranking.
31	
32	8.4. The MSG deliberated on their choices and cast their votes.
33	
34	8.5. On the other hand, it was shared that a summary report and a brochure on the key findings were
35	prepared by the Secretariat. The MSG was asked to submit their comments on the said documents until
36	Friday next week before printing.
37	
38	9. National conference
39	
40	9.1. The Secretariat mentioned that the proposed program can be found in the kits. It was noted that
41	invitations have been sent to Secretary Purisima and Secretary Paje. The MGB representative was asked
42	to follow up with Secretary Paje.

1	9.2. As agreed by the MSG, the Secretariat reported that a letter of invitation was made for the
2	President. The Secretary of DILG and DBM were also invited to give messages.
3	
4	9.3. The Secretariat then gave a rundown of the following scheduled activities as stated in the program.

5

9.4. It was shared that a representative from the International Secretariat was also requested to give a
 presentation on how EITI should influence policies and reforms.

8 9 10

National conference workshop

9.5. The Secretariat shared that the current reforms implemented by national government agencies will
be discussed by the sectors. Hence, one of the outputs of the conference would be recommendations
coming from the workshop.

14

17

19

9.6. A CSO representative asked if the presidential candidates can be invited so that they can be awareof EITI.

18 • PH-EITI transparency award

- 9.7. The Secretariat mentioned that the proposal for the awards can be found in the kits. The criteria ofthe awards were then discussed.
- 22

9.8. The Secretariat then discussed the proposed six awards to be given, with a specific citation for eachcriteria.

25

9.9. It was explained that a board of judges will be selected. The board of judges will have two
representatives per sector, with one alternate each, in case the organization of the representatives gets
nominated. The stakeholders will then be asked by the Secretariat to nominate awardees. If there will
be no nominations, the Secretariat will propose a list to the board of judges.

30

9.10. It was noted that final decisions will be made in the next MSG meeting and plaques will beawarded to the winners.

33

34 9.11. The Chair asked each sector to submit the names of the judges by the end of the day.

35

9.12. It was shared that the CSOs will be represented by Teresita Tabada and Starjoan Villanueva whilethe government will be represented by the DILG and Ms. Febe Lim of DOF. The industry representatives

38 expressed that they will first discuss among themselves.

1	10. Other Matters
2	
3	10.1. The Secretariat shared that the office of Secretary Purisima received a letter from the LGU of
4	Palawan and the said letter was routed to PH-EITI.
5	
6	10.2. The BIR representative mentioned that they have already responded to the said letter.
7	
8	10.3. The same representative was then requested to provide the body with a copy of their letter to the
9	Palawan LGU.
10	
11	ADJOURNMENT
12	
13	There being no other matters to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 12:08 PM.
14	